arXiv:1211.1812v2 [math-ph] 22 Jan 2013

Quantum fields in curved spacetimes
and
presheaves of superselection structures

Ezio Vasselli

Dipartimento di Matematica University of Rome La Sapienza

ezio.vasselli@gmail.com

January 23, 2013

Abstract

We show that superselection structures on curved spacetimes, that are expected to describe quantum charges affected by the underlying geometry, are categories of sections of presheaves of symmetric tensor categories. This implies that, provided an embedding functor (whose existence and uniqueness are not guaranteed), the superselection structure is a Tannaka-type dual of a locally constant group bundle, which hence becomes a natural candidate for the role of gauge group. Indeed, we show that any locally constant group bundle (with suitable structure group) acts on a net of C^*-algebras fulfilling normal commutation relations on an arbitrary spacetime. We also give examples of gerbes of C^*-algebras, defined by Wightman fields and constructed using projective representations of the fundamental group of the spacetime.

Contents

1 Introduction. **2**

2 Mathematical background. **3**

2.1 Geometry of posets. 3

2.2 Nets of C^*-algebras. 5

2.3 Nets and presheaves of tensor categories. 8

3 Presheaves in quantum field theory. **11**

3.1 Charge structure. 12

3.2 Symmetry. 14

3.3 The main result. 15

4 Group bundles as gauge symmetries. **18**

5 Conclusions and the gerbe perspective. **22**

A Symmetry and nets on globally hyperbolic spin manifolds. **25**

1 Introduction.

In the ordinary approach to particle physics quantum fields are the fundamental objects, but do not necessarily yield quantum observables because the property of gauge invariance with respect to a symmetry group must be imposed.

In algebraic quantum field theory this point of view is subverted, because at a first stage only quantum observables, which form an algebra, are considered, so one could ask whether observable quantities can determine the algebra of quantum fields and the gauge group. The answer to this question is a pivotal result: quantum fields and gauge group can be reconstructed starting from the observable algebra and the set, called the superselection structure, of its physically relevant Hilbert space representations (*sectors*), which label "quantum numbers" as, for example, the isospin ([13]). A celebrated mathematical byproduct is the Doplicher-Roberts duality for compact groups vs. *abstract* symmetric tensor categories, that generalizes Tannaka-Krein duality ([12]).

The mathematical scenario of the above construction is the one defined by Haag, Kastler and Araki in the middle sixties ([2, Chp.4],[19, Chp.III]): we have a fixed base Δ_{dc} of the Minkowski spacetime, the one of double cones, and, for any $o \in \Delta_{dc}$, a C^*-algebra A_o that is interpreted as the one of quantum observables localized within o. Starting from this interpretation, it is naturally required that there are inclusion morphisms

$$\jmath_{o'o} : A_o \to A_{o'} \quad : \quad \jmath_{o''o'} \circ \jmath_{o'o} = \jmath_{o''o} \quad , \quad \forall o \subseteq o' \subseteq o'' \in \Delta_{dc} \ . \tag{1.1}$$

The pair $(A, \jmath)$ is known as the *observable net* and is usually realized by means of quantum fields in the sense of Wightman ([2, §4.8]). An important point is that Δ_{dc} is a directed *poset* (partially ordered set) when ordered under inclusion, and this implies that we can construct the inductive limit C^*-algebra $\vec{A} := \lim_\jmath (A, \jmath)$, that can be represented as a *-algebra of operators on a fixed Hilbert space. The superselection structure is then realized as a symmetric tensor C^*-category T of *-endomorphisms of $\vec{A}$, and characterized as the dual of the compact gauge group G (that means, in particular, that any $\rho \in \mathbf{obj}\, T$ yields a unitary representation of G).

Now, there are phisically relevant situations (globally hyperbolic spacetimes in general relativity, the 1–sphere in conformal theory, Aharonov-Bohm solenoids in quantum electromagnetism) in which the base Δ of the involved spacetime M is not directed under inclusion (in this case we say that M is *curved*), and $(A, \jmath)$ is more generally a precosheaf of C^*-algebras. At the mathematical level, at the present time the most general superselection structure available on curved spacetimes is the one defined by Brunetti and Ruzzi ([5], a generalization of previous works by Guido, Longo, Verch, Roberts and Ruzzi [21, 22, 18, 25]), and is expressed in terms of cocycles z with values in the unitary group of $(A, \jmath)$. This superselection structure, motivated by the need of clarifying the possible relations between spacetime topology and structurial properties of quantum field theory, yields a symmetric tensor C^*-category $\widetilde{T}$, nevertheless it is not clear how to perform a field reconstruction as in the case of Minkowski spacetime, so we do not know whether interpret the dual group of $\widetilde{T}$ as the gauge group.

In this paper we prove that $\widetilde{T}$ is the category of sections of a presheaf $\mathfrak{S}$ of simple symmetric tensor C^*-categories (Theorem 3.6), so it can be characterized as a Tannaka-type dual of a locally constant group bundle

$$\mathcal{G} \to M$$

(to be precise, $\widetilde{T}$ is the dual of the precosheaf of local "constant" sections of $\mathcal{G}$). An essential ingredient of this duality is given by an embedding morphism $I : \mathfrak{S} \to \mathfrak{C}$, where $\mathfrak{C}$ is a presheaf of full subcategories of the one of Hilbert spaces. This is a crucial point, since, as we show in [28], I may not exist or be non-unique, so the same holds for $\mathcal{G}$ which, when existing, will depend on the choice of I.

Our results imply that any sector z defines a compact Lie group $G_z \subseteq \mathbb{U}(d)$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and a projective holonomy representation

$$\chi_z : \pi_1(M) \to NG_z/G_z \ ,$$

where NG_z is the normalizer of G_z in $\mathbb{U}(d)$. The importance of χ_z relies in the fact that if there is some embedding of $\mathfrak{S}$, then for any z there must be a lift

$$\widehat{\chi}_z : \pi_1(M) \to NG_z \quad , \quad \chi_z = \widehat{\chi}_z \mathrm{mod} G_z \ .$$

We interpret the χ_z's as flat principal NG_z/G_z-bundles and assign to them Cheeger-Chern-Simons characteristic classes living in the odd cohomology of M (see (2.6)), which measure the obstruction for z being a "topologically trivial" sector as in [18, 25].

To support the idea that $\mathcal{G}$ should be the right candidate for the role of gauge group we construct precosheaves of C^*-algebras generated by Wightman fields, acted upon by locally constant group bundles and obtained by twisting the inclusion morphisms of ordinary field nets (Theorem 4.5). This yields examples of inequivalent field precosheaves (acted upon by inequivalent group bundles) having the same observable net, this last causally represented in a fixed Hilbert space (Cor.4.3).

The loss of uniqueness and, possibly, of existence of $\mathcal{G}$ can be avoided moving ourselves in the more convenient setting of group gerbes on the underlying poset. This notion is illustrated in (5.1), and we shall show in a forthcoming paper ([29]) that $\mathfrak{S}$ *uniquely defines* the dual group gerbe $\check{\mathfrak{G}}$, which *may* collaps to several, possibily inequivalent, locally constant bundles. The reason why we analyze here the setting of locally constant bundles is that embeddings seem to automatically exist in physically interesting spacetimes (de Sitter and anti-de Sitter spaces, see Point 5 after Theorem 3.6), and also in generic spacetimes for topologically trivial superselections structures ([18, 25]).

On the grounds of the previous considerations we expect that existence and uniqueness of a precosheaf of field C^*-algebras having $(A, \jmath)$ as fixed-point precosheaf are not ensured. So we give the notion of $\check{\mathfrak{G}}$*-gerbe of* C^**-algebras* on a poset, defined as a pair $\mathfrak{F} = (F, i)$, where $F := \{F_o\}_{o \in \Delta}$ is a family of C^*-algebras with *-monomorphisms $i_{o'o} : F_o \to F_{o'}$, $o \leq o'$, whose obstruction to fulfil the precosheaf relations (1.1) is encoded by $\check{\mathfrak{G}}$ (see (5.2)). The fact that a unique "field gerbe" $\mathfrak{F}$ may be reconstructed starting from $(A, \jmath)$ and $\mathfrak{S}$ would support our claim that $\check{\mathfrak{G}}$ is *the* gauge group, and is object of a work in progress. For the moment we limit ourselves to give examples of gerbes of C^*-algebras defined by quantum fields, obtained by projective representations of the fundamental group (Theorem 5.1).

2 Mathematical background.

2.1 Geometry of posets.

Let M be a topological space. A base Δ for the topology of M is said to be *good* whenever each $a \in \Delta$ is arcwise and simply connected. In all the cases of interest in this paper M will be a locally compact manifold (a spacetime), and elements of Δ will have compact closure. For example, we have the Minkowski spacetime with base given by the doublecones, or more generally a globally hyperbolic spacetime with base the set of diamonds ([18, 5]).

Now, Δ becomes a *poset* (partially ordered set) when endowed with the order relation given by inclusion, and encodes some geometric invariants of M. As a first step we consider the simplicial set defined by Δ, whose sets at lower degrees are defined as follows ([23, 24]):

- $\Sigma_0(\Delta) := \Delta$, the set of 0-simplices, that are the analogues of "points";
- $\Sigma_1(\Delta)$, the set of 1-simplices, is the set of "ordered lines" of the type

$$b := (\partial_1 b, \partial_0 b; |b|) \quad : \quad \partial_1 b, \partial_0 b \subseteq |b| \in \Delta \ .$$

- Finally we define the set $\Sigma_2(\Delta)$ of 2-simplices ("oriented triangles") with elements quadruples $c = (\partial_0 c, \partial_1 c, \partial_2 c \in \Sigma_1(\Delta); |c| \in \Delta)$ such that

$$\partial_{hk} c := \partial_h \partial_k c = \partial_k \partial_{h+1} c \quad , \quad |\partial_h c| \subseteq |c| \quad , \quad \forall h \geq k \ . \tag{2.1}$$

Using 1-simplices we define *paths*, in terms of sequences

$$p := b_n * \ldots * b_1 \quad : \quad \partial_0 b_k = \partial_1 b_{k+1} \ , \ \forall k = 1, \ldots, n \ .$$

To emphasize the initial and ending "points" of p we use the notation

$$p : a \to o \quad , \quad a = \partial_1 b_1 \ , \ o = \partial_0 b_n \ .$$

The *opposite path* of p is given by $\overline{p} := \overline{b}_1 * \ldots * \overline{b}_n : o \to a$, where any $\overline{b}_k$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$, is the 1-simplex defined by

$$\partial_1 \overline{b}_k := \partial_0 b_k \quad , \quad \partial_0 \overline{b}_k := \partial_1 b_k \quad , \quad |\overline{b}_k| := |b_k| \ .$$

A *path frame* with pole $\omega \in \Delta$ is a set

$$P_\omega = \{ p_{a\omega} : \omega \to a \ , \ \forall a \in \Delta \} \tag{2.2}$$

such that $p_{\omega\omega} = b_\omega := (\omega, \omega; \omega) \in \Sigma_1(\Delta)$. To be concise we write

$$p_{\omega a} := \overline{p}_{a\omega} \ .$$

Paths of the type $p : a \to o$, $p' : o \to e$ can be composed by defining $p' * p := b'_m * \ldots * b'_1 * b_n * \ldots b_1$, so in particular the set of paths of the type $p : a \to a$, called *loops*, is a semigroup. There is an equivalence relation on paths, called *homotopy*, and the quotient $\pi_1(\Delta)$ of the set of loops starting and ending at $a \in \Delta$ is a group, whose isomorphism class does not depend on a. There is an isomorphism

$$\pi_1(\Delta) \ \simeq \ \pi_1(M) \ , \tag{2.3}$$

where $\pi_1(M)$ is the fundamental group (see [25]).

Characteristic classes for representations of $\pi_1(\Delta)$. Let G be a topological group and

$$\chi : \pi_1(\Delta) \to G$$

a morphism. Using (2.3) we identify $\pi_1(\Delta)$ with the fundamental group and recall that the universal cover $\tilde{M}$ defines a principal $\pi_1(\Delta)$-bundle $q : \tilde{M} \to M$, so any fibre $q^{-1}(x)$, $x \in M$, is a right $\pi_1(\Delta)$-space. Given a left G-space F, this yields the induced F-bundle

$$q_\chi : P_\chi \to M \ , \tag{2.4}$$

where P_χ is the quotient of $\tilde{M} \times F$ by the equivalence relation

$$(y,v) \sim (yp, \chi(p)v) \quad , \quad p \in \pi_1(\Delta) \ ,$$

and $q_\chi(y,v)_\sim := q(y)$, for all $(y,v)_\sim \in P_\chi$. A F-bundle of the above kind is called *locally constant* ([20, §I.2]).

Let now F be a manifold and G a Lie group. We consider a *generic* F-bundle $P \to M$ and a characteristic class ζ defining the $2k$-form $\zeta(P) \in Z^{2k}_{deRham}(M)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that ζ has periods in the ring $\mathbb{K} \subset \mathbb{R}$ whenever

$$\int_c \zeta(P) \ \in \mathbb{K} \ ,$$

for any $2k$-cycle $c \in Z_{2k}(M)$. In [6] it is proved that there is a unique morphism

$$\zeta^\uparrow(P) : C_{2k-1}(M) \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{K} \ ,$$

where $C_{2k-1}(M)$ is the group of singular $(2k-1)$-chains, such that

$$\{\zeta^\uparrow(P)\}(\partial\ell) \ = \ \int_\ell \zeta(P) \,\mathrm{mod}\mathbb{K} \quad , \quad \forall \ell \in C_{2k}(M) \ ,$$

where $\partial : C_{2k}(M) \to Z_{2k-1}(M)$ is the boundary.

Since it is well-known that $\zeta(P_\chi) = 0$ for any locally constant bundle P_χ (see [20, §II.3]), we have that $\zeta^\uparrow(P_\chi)$ vanishes on $\partial C_{2k}(M)$, so it yields a cocycle defining a class in singular cohomology,

$$\zeta^\uparrow(\chi) := [\zeta^\uparrow(P_\chi)] \in H^{2k-1}(M, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{K}) \ , \tag{2.5}$$

which by construction vanishes when χ is the trivial morphism. For example, it is well-known that the Chern classes $c_k(P) \in Z^{2k}_{deRham}(M)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, have periods in $\mathbb{Z}$, so for any d-dimensional unitary representation $\chi : \pi_1(\Delta) \to \mathbb{U}(d)$ there are classes

$$c_k^\uparrow(\chi) \in H^{2k-1}(M, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \quad , \quad k = 1, \ldots, d \ . \tag{2.6}$$

2.2 Nets of C^*-algebras.

A *net* [1] of C^*-algebras on Δ is given by a family $A = \{A_a\}$ of unital C^*-algebras, and unital *-monomorphisms (called *the net structure*)

$$\jmath_{a'a} : A_a \to A_{a'} \quad : \quad \jmath_{a''a'} \circ \jmath_{a'a} = \jmath_{a''a} \quad , \quad \forall a \subseteq a' \subseteq a'' \ .$$

We shall use the notation $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \jmath)_\Delta$. Morphisms $\nu : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}'$ of nets of C^*-algebras are defined by families

$$\nu_a : A_a \to A'_a \quad : \quad \nu_{a'} \circ \jmath_{a'a} = \jmath'_{a'a} \circ \nu_a \quad , \quad \forall a \subseteq a' \ .$$

In algebraic quantum field theory it is customary to consider nets such that there is a Hilbert space H with $A_a \subseteq B(H)$ for all $a \in \Delta$, and any $\jmath_{a'a}$, $a \subseteq a'$, is the inclusion map. In this case we write

$$\mathfrak{A} = (A, \jmath)_\Delta \subset B(H) \ .$$

---

[1] Here we should use the term *precosheaf*, nevertheless we prefer to maintain the traditional terminology.

Net bundles ([24, 26]). When any $\jmath_{a'a}$ is an isomorphism we say that $\mathfrak{A}$ is a C*-*net bundle*; fixing a family of *-isomorphisms $\nu_a : A_a \to A_*$, $\forall a \in \Delta$, and defining

$$\jmath'_{a'a} \in \mathbf{aut} A_* \quad , \quad \jmath'_{a'a} := \nu_{a'} \circ \jmath_{a'a} \circ \nu_a^{-1} \quad , \quad \forall a \subseteq a' \ ,$$

we obtain a C*-net bundle $\mathfrak{A}' = (A', \jmath')_\Delta$ with constant fibre A_*, isomorphic to $\mathfrak{A}$. *In the sequel we shall always assume that a net bundle has constant fibre;* the notation A_a, $a \in \Delta$, shall be maintained only to keep in evidence the role of the copy of $A_* = A_a$ as the fibre on $a \in \Delta$. We denote the set of C*-net bundles with fibre A_* by

$$\mathbf{bun}(\Delta, A_*) \ .$$

To be concise we write $\jmath_{aa'} := \jmath_{a'a}^{-1}$, $\forall a \subseteq a'$. For any $b \in \Sigma_1(\Delta)$ we define $\jmath_b := \jmath_{\partial_0 b \, |b|} \circ \jmath_{|b| \, \partial_1 b}$, and

$$\chi_p := \jmath_{b_n} \circ \ldots \circ \jmath_{b_1} \ \in \mathbf{aut} A_* \quad , \quad \forall p = b_n * \ldots * b_1 : a \to a \ . \tag{2.7}$$

The map χ_p, $p : a \to a$, factorizes through homotopy, so it yields the C*-dynamical system $\chi : \pi_1(\Delta) \to \mathbf{aut} A_*$; indeed, assigning the *holonomy*

$$\mathfrak{A} \mapsto \chi \tag{2.8}$$

yields an equivalence from the category of C*-net bundles to the one of $\pi_1(\Delta)$-dynamical C*-systems.

Analogous definitions, notations and properties hold in the cases of net bundles of groups and of Hilbert spaces. In the first case we have group isomorphisms $\imath_{a'a} : G_a \to G_{a'}$, $\forall a \subseteq a'$, defining the *group net bundle* $\mathfrak{G} = (G, \imath)_\Delta$, whilst in the second case we have unitaries $U_{a'a} : H_a \to H_{a'}$, $\forall a \subseteq a'$, defining the *Hilbert net bundle* $\mathfrak{H} = (H, U)_\Delta$. We have an equivalence

$$\mathfrak{P} \overset{(2.8)}{\to} \chi \overset{(2.4)}{\to} P_\chi \ , \tag{2.9}$$

from the category of net bundles to the one of locally constant bundles, which holds when the fibres are C*-algebras, Hilbert spaces, and topological groups. The geometric content of (2.9) is that χ is the holonomy of $\mathfrak{P}$ or, equivalently, the monodromy of P_χ, whilst $\mathfrak{P}$ can be understood as a precosheaf of local sections of P_χ.

Representations ([5, 26]). Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \jmath)_\Delta$ be a net of C*-algebras. A *representation* of $\mathfrak{A}$ is a pair (π, U), where $\pi_a : A_a \to BH_a$, $a \in \Delta$, is a family of Hilbert space representations and $U = \{U_{a'a}\}_{a \subseteq a'}$ fulfils

$$U_{a''a} = U_{a''a'} U_{a'a} \quad , \quad \mathrm{ad} U_{a'a} \circ \pi_a = \pi_{a'} \circ \jmath_{a'a} \quad , \quad a \subseteq a' \subseteq a'' \ .$$

Defining the Hilbert net bundle $\mathfrak{H} = (H, U)_\Delta$, $H := \{H_a\}$, we obtain the C*-net bundle $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{H} = (BH, \mathrm{ad} U)_\Delta$ and (π, U) can be regarded as a morphism $\pi : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{H}$. When $\mathfrak{H}$ is trivial [2] we have Hilbert space representations, that are those commonly used in algebraic quantum field theory.

On commutation relations. In this paragraph we give a notion of commutativity for operators on Hilbert net bundles, designed to be coherent with the underlying net structure. We shall use it to propose a different notion of causality for Brunetti-Ruzzi sectors (see §4).

---

[2] That is, $\mathfrak{H}$ is isomorphic to the constant Hilbert net bundle $\mathfrak{H}^0 = (H^0, U^0)_\Delta$ with $U^0_{a'a} \equiv 1$.

Let $\mathfrak{H} = (H,U)_\Delta$ be a Hilbert net bundle. We say that $\mathfrak{H}$ is trivial on $\Omega \subseteq \Delta$ whenever the restriction $\mathfrak{H}_\Omega := (H,U)_\Omega$ is a trivial Hilbert net bundle. Given $a, o \in \Delta$, we say that a path $p : o \to a$ is *trivialized* by $\mathfrak{H}$ whenever p is a path in some Ω on which $\mathfrak{H}$ is trivial. We denote the set of paths trivialized by $\mathfrak{H}$ by $\pi_0(\Delta, \mathfrak{H})$.

Given $a, o \in \Delta$ and $T \in BH_a$, $T' \in BH_o$, we write $[T,T']_\pm = 0$ whenever

$$[T,T']_\pm^p \ := \ T \cdot U_p T' U_p^* \pm U_p T' U_p^* \cdot t \ = \ 0 \quad , \quad \forall p : o \to a \ , \ p \in \pi_0(\Delta, \mathfrak{H}) \ , \tag{2.10}$$

where $U_p := U_{b_n} \cdots U_{b_1}$, $p = b_n * \ldots * b_1$. Note that the previous expression makes sense since $U_p T' U_p^* \in BH_a$. Moreover (2.10) is symmetric in the sense that $[T,T']_\pm^p = 0$ if, and only if,

$${}^p[T,T']_\pm \ := \ U_{\overline{p}} T U_{\overline{p}}^* \cdot T' \pm T' \cdot U_{\overline{p}} T U_{\overline{p}}^* \ = \ 0 \ ,$$

where $\overline{p} \in \pi_0(\Delta, \mathfrak{H})$, $\overline{p} : a \to o$, is the opposite path. Note that:

- the fact that p is trivialized by $\mathfrak{H}$ means that there is a Hilbert space H' with unitaries $V_e : H_e \to H'$, $e \in \Omega$, such that $U_{e'e} = V_{e'}^* V_e$, $\forall e \subseteq e' \in \Omega$. So we have

  $$U_p \ = \ V_a V_{|b_n|}^* \cdots V_{|b_1|} V_o^* \ = \ V_a V_o^* \ ,$$

  and U_p is independent of the choice of $p \in \pi_0(\Delta, \mathfrak{H})$. When $a = o$ we have $U_p = 1$ for any $p \in \pi_0(\Delta, \mathfrak{H})$, $p : a \to a$, and $[T,T']_\pm^p$ is the usual (anti)commutator.
- if $\mathfrak{H}$ is trivial then any path is trivialized by $\mathfrak{H}$. In particular, when $\mathfrak{H}$ is the constant Hilbert net bundle, $U_p \equiv 1$ and we have the usual (anti)commutator;
- if $\Omega \subseteq \Delta$ is such that $\pi_1(\Omega) = \mathbf{0}$ and p is a path in Ω, then p is automatically trivialized by $\mathfrak{H}$. Note that restrictions to simply connected subsets of Δ appear in [5, §3.2] to define sharp excitations of the vacuum state.

Gauge actions ([28]). Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \jmath)_\Delta$ be a net of C^*-algebras and $\mathfrak{G} = (G, \imath)_\Delta$ a group net bundle (whose fibre is assumed to be locally compact and Hausdorff). A *gauge action* of $\mathfrak{G}$ on $\mathfrak{A}$ is given by a family of strongly continuous actions $\alpha_o : G_o \to \mathbf{aut} A_o$, $\forall o \in \Delta$, such that

$$\{\alpha_{o'}(\imath_{o'o}(g))\} \circ \jmath_{o'o} = \jmath_{o'o} \circ \alpha_o(g) \quad , \quad \forall g \in G_o \ , \ o \leq o' \ . \tag{2.11}$$

When $\mathfrak{G}$ is the constant net bundle (that is, any $\imath_{o'o}$ is the identity of a fixed group G_*), we have a group action of G_* on $\mathfrak{A}$ by net automorphisms. A morphism $\nu : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}'$ is said to be $\mathfrak{G}$-*equivariant* whenever

$$\nu_a \circ \alpha_a(g) \ = \ \alpha'_a(g) \circ \nu_a \quad , \quad \forall a \in \Delta \ , \ g \in G_a \ .$$

The notion of gauge action can be defined for a Hilbert net bundle $\mathfrak{H} = (H,U)_\Delta$ in the same way as above, requiring that each $\alpha_o(g)$, $o \in \Delta$, $g \in G_o$, is a unitary operator on H_o. We denote the category of $\mathfrak{G}$-equivariant Hilbert net bundles on Δ by

$$\mathbf{bun}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\Delta, \mathbf{Hilb}) \ .$$

2.3 Nets and presheaves of tensor categories.

In this section we recall some properties of nets and presheaves of tensor categories, that constitute one of the main objects of study in this paper. More detailed references on this topic are [22, 28].

We recall that a *C*-category* is a category C whose spaces of arrows are Banach spaces, endowed with an involution $* : (\rho,\sigma) \to (\sigma,\rho)$, $\forall \rho,\sigma \in \mathbf{obj}\, C$, such that $(t \circ t')^* = t'^* \circ t^*$, $\| t^* \circ t \| = \| t \|^2$ for any pair of composable arrows t, t'. This implies that any (ρ,ρ), $\rho \in \mathbf{obj}\, C$, is a C*-algebra. A *tensor product* on C is given by a bilinear bifunctor $\otimes : C \times C \to C$, and the *identity object* $\iota \in \mathbf{obj}\, C$ is characterized by the property that $\iota \otimes \rho = \rho \otimes \iota = \rho$ for any $\rho \in \mathbf{obj}\, C$. To be concise, in the sequel we shall write $\rho\sigma := \rho \otimes \sigma$, $\forall \rho,\sigma \in \mathbf{obj}\, C$. We say that C is *simple* whenever $(\iota,\iota) = \mathbb{C}1_\iota$, where 1_ι is the identity arrow. We say that the tensor product is *symmetric* whenever there is a family $\epsilon_{\rho,\sigma} \in (\rho\sigma,\sigma\rho)$ of unitary arrows, inducing the flip $(t \otimes t') \circ \epsilon_{\rho,\rho'} = \epsilon_{\sigma,\sigma'} \circ (t' \otimes t)$, $t \in (\rho,\sigma)$, $t' \in (\rho',\sigma')$, and fulfilling the properties in [12, §1]. In this case we write $C_{\otimes,\epsilon}$.

The category of finite dimensional, unitary representations (that is, the *dual*) of a group is the basic class of examples for a simple, symmetric tensor C*-category. A simple symmetric tensor C*-category with conjugates, direct sums and subobjetcs in the sense of [12, §1] is said to be a *DR-category*, and is automatically isomorphic to the dual of a unique up-to-isomorphism compact group ([12, Theorem 6.9]).

A *net of tensor C*-categories* on Δ is given by a family $T = \{T_a\}_{a \in \Delta}$ of tensor C*-categories, and a family of tensor *-monofunctors

$$\jmath_{a'a} : T_a \to T_{a'} \quad : \quad \jmath_{a''a'} \circ \jmath_{a'a} = \jmath_{a''a} \quad , \quad \forall a \subseteq a' \subseteq a'' \ .$$

We shall use the notation $\mathfrak{T}_\otimes = (T,\jmath)_\Delta$. We say that $\mathfrak{T}_\otimes$ is *simple* whenever each T_a, $a \in \Delta$, is simple. When any $\jmath_{a'a}$ is a *-isofunctor we say that $\mathfrak{T}_\otimes$ is a *net bundle* of tensor C*-categories. When any T_a, $a \in \Delta$, has symmetry ξ_a and $\jmath_{a'a}(\xi_{a;\rho,\sigma}) = \xi_{a';\jmath_{a'a}(\rho),\jmath_{a'a}(\sigma)}$ for any $a \subseteq a'$, $\rho,\sigma \in \mathbf{obj}\, T_a$, we say that $\mathfrak{T}_\otimes$ is *symmetric* and write $\mathfrak{T}_{\otimes,\xi}$.

The next class of examples is motivated by the analysis of superselection structures in algebraic quantum field theory.

Example 2.1. (Nets of C*-algebras and induced nets of tensor C*-categories, [22, §27]). *Let A be a unital C*-algebra. Then the semigroup $\mathbf{end}A$ of unital *-endomorphisms of A defines a tensor C*-category with sets of arrows*

$$(\rho,\sigma) := \{ t \in A \ : \ t\rho(v) = \sigma(v)t \ , \ \forall v \in A \} \quad , \quad \forall \rho,\sigma \in \mathbf{end}A \ , \tag{2.12}$$

whose composition is given by the product of A; the tensor product is given by

$$\rho \otimes \sigma := \rho\sigma := \rho \circ \sigma \quad , \quad t \otimes t' := t\rho(t') \quad , \quad \forall \rho,\sigma,\rho',\sigma' \in \mathbf{end}A \ , \ t \in (\rho,\sigma) \ , \ t' \in (\rho',\sigma') \ . \tag{2.13}$$

Let now H be a Hilbert space and $\mathfrak{R} = (R,\jmath)_\Delta \subset B(H)$ a net of C-algebras on H. For any $a \in \Delta$ we consider the C*-algebra*

$$R^a \subseteq B(H) \quad , \quad R^a := C^*\{ R_o : a \subseteq o \}$$

(note that $R_a \subseteq R^a$) and, given $\rho,\sigma \in \mathbf{end}R^a$, the space $(\rho,\sigma) \subseteq R^a$ of (2.12). We define the categories

$$T_a := \left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} \mathbf{obj}\, T_a & := & \{ \rho \in \mathbf{end}R^a : \rho(R_o) \subseteq R_o, \forall o \supset a \} \ , \\ \mathbf{arr} T_a & := & \{ (\rho,\sigma)_a := R_a \cap (\rho,\sigma) \ , \ \forall \rho,\sigma \in \mathbf{obj}\, T_a \} \ , \end{array} \right.$$

having tensor product (2.13). We have

$$R_a \subseteq R_{a'} \quad , \quad R^{a'} \subseteq R^a \quad , \quad \forall a \subseteq a' \ ,$$

and by definition any $\rho \in \mathbf{obj}\ T_a$ *restricts to an endomorphism* $\jmath_{a'a}\rho \in \mathbf{obj}\ T_{a'}$. *Moreover we have inclusions*

$$\jmath_{a'a} : (\rho, \sigma)_{a'} \to (\jmath_{a'a}\rho, \jmath_{a'a}\sigma)_a \quad , \quad \rho, \sigma \in \mathbf{obj}\ T_a \ ,$$

and this yields the net $\mathfrak{T} = (T, \jmath)_\Delta$. *Finally, it is trivial to verify that* $\jmath$ *preserves the tensor structure, so* $\mathfrak{T}_\otimes$ *is a tensor net. Note that the identity of* T_a *is the identity automorphism* $\iota_a \in \mathbf{end}R^a$, *so*

$$(\iota_a, \iota_a)_a = R_a \cap (R^a)' \ .$$

Presheaves. There is a natural notion dual to the one of net: a *presheaf* of *C**-categories is given by a family of *-monofunctors

$$r_{aa'} : T_{a'} \to T_a \quad : \quad r_{aa'} \circ r_{a'a''} = r_{aa''} \quad , \quad \forall a \subseteq a' \subseteq a'' \ ,$$

and we shall write $\mathfrak{T} = (T, r)^\Delta$. A *presheaf morphism* $\eta : \mathfrak{T} \to \mathfrak{T}'$ is a family of functors

$$\eta_a : T_a \to T'_a \quad : \quad \eta_a \circ r_{aa'} = r'_{aa'} \circ \eta_{a'} \quad , \quad \forall a \subseteq a' \ .$$

Notation and terminology for presheaves are analogous to the ones of nets, so we write $\mathfrak{T}_{\otimes,\xi}$ to indicate presheaves endowed with a symmetric tensor structure. In particular, we say that η is a *symmetric tensor* presheaf morphism whenever the involved structures are preserved by η.

We say that $\mathfrak{T}$ is *full* whenever each $r_{aa'}$, $a \subseteq a'$, is a full functor. In particular, a *presheaf bundle* is a presheaf $\mathfrak{T} = (T, r)^\Delta$ where any $r_{aa'}$ is a *-isofunctor. The map

$$\mathfrak{T} = (T, \jmath)_\Delta \ \mapsto \ \mathfrak{T}' = (T, r)^\Delta \ , \ r_{aa'} := \jmath_{a'a}^{-1} \ , \ \forall a \subseteq a' \ ,$$

yields an isomorphism from the category of net bundles to the one of presheaf bundles. Given a symmetric tensor *C**-category $T_{\otimes,\epsilon}$, we denote the set of symmetric tensor presheaf bundles with fibre $T_{\otimes,\epsilon}$ by $\mathbf{pbun}^\uparrow(\Delta, T_{\otimes,\epsilon})$. Reasoning as in (2.7), we obtain a one-to-one correspondence

$$\mathbf{pbun}^\uparrow(\Delta, T_{\otimes,\epsilon}) \ \leftrightarrow \ \mathrm{hom}(\pi_1(\Delta), \mathbf{aut}T_{\otimes,\epsilon}) \quad , \quad \mathfrak{T}_{\otimes,\xi} \mapsto \chi \ ,$$

where $\mathbf{aut}T_{\otimes,\epsilon}$ is the group of symmetric tensor *-autofunctors of $T_{\otimes,\epsilon}$. We call χ the *holonomy of* $\mathfrak{T}_{\otimes,\xi}$.

Example 2.2. *Let* $d \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $\pi_G : G \to \mathbb{U}(d)$ *denote the representation of a compact Lie group* G *defined by the inclusion. We denote the category of tensor powers of* π_G *by* $\widehat{\pi}_G$, *which is a tensor C*-category with symmetry* θ. *The group* G *also defines a C*-algebra* $\mathcal{O}_G$ *which is the fixed-point algebra of the natural* G*-action on the Cuntz algebra* $\mathcal{O}_d$ *([11]). We define*

$$QG \ := \ NG/G \ ,$$

where $NG \subseteq \mathbb{U}(d)$ *is the normalizer of* G. *Since there are maps*

$$QG \to \mathbf{aut}\widehat{\pi}_{G;\otimes,\theta} \quad , \quad QG \to \mathbf{aut}\mathcal{O}_G$$

(see [27, §3]), by the previous considerations we obtain maps

$$\mathrm{hom}(\pi_1(\Delta), QG) \ \to \ \mathbf{pbun}^\uparrow(\Delta, \widehat{\pi}_{G;\otimes,\theta}) \quad , \quad \mathrm{hom}(\pi_1(\Delta), QG) \ \to \ \mathbf{bun}(\Delta, \mathcal{O}_G) \ . \qquad (2.14)$$

By definition, the *category of sections* of the symmetric tensor presheaf $\mathfrak{T}_{\otimes,\xi} = (T,r)^\Delta$ has objects and, respectively, arrows

$$\begin{cases} \varrho = \{\varrho_a \in \mathbf{obj}\, T_a\} & : \quad r_{aa'}(\varrho_{a'}) = \varrho_a \quad , \quad \forall a \subseteq a' \ , \\ t = \{t_a \in (\varrho_a, \varsigma_a)\} & : \quad r^{aa'}(t_{a'}) = t_a \quad , \quad \forall a \subseteq a' \ . \end{cases}$$

We denote it by $\widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}_{\otimes,\xi}$. It is easily verified that $\widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}_{\otimes,\xi}$ is a symmetric tensor C*-category, and we say that $\mathfrak{T}_{\otimes,\xi}$ is a *DR-presheaf* whenever $\widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}_{\otimes,\xi}$ is a DR-category.

Remark 2.1. *Given the symmetric tensor presheaf $\mathfrak{T}_{\otimes,\xi} = (T,r)^\Delta$, for any $a \in \Delta$ we denote the full subcategory of T_a with objects ϱ_a, $\varrho \in \mathbf{obj}\, \widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}_{\otimes,\xi}$, by $T_a^\downarrow$. Any $r_{aa'}$, $a \subseteq a'$, restricts to a *-monofunctor $r^\downarrow : T_{a'}^\downarrow \to T_a^\downarrow$, $\forall a \subseteq a'$, and this yields the symmetric tensor presheaf $\mathfrak{T}^\downarrow_{\otimes,\xi} = (T^\downarrow, r^\downarrow)^\Delta$. By construction the section categories of $\mathfrak{T}_{\otimes,\xi}$, $\mathfrak{T}^\downarrow_{\otimes,\xi}$ coincide, that is $\widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}_{\otimes,\xi} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}^\downarrow_{\otimes,\xi}$.*

To illustrate a Tannaka duality for categories of sections we give the notion of *embedding*, that is, a symmetric tensor presheaf monomorphism

$$I \ : \ \mathfrak{T}_{\otimes,\xi} = (T,r)^\Delta \ \to \ \mathfrak{C}_{\otimes,\vartheta} = (C,r')^\Delta \ ,$$

where $\mathfrak{C}_{\otimes,\vartheta}$ is a full presheaf such that any C_a, $a \in \Delta$, is a full symmetric tensor subcategory of the one of finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces.

Theorem 2.2 (Tannaka duality, [28])**.** *Let $\mathfrak{T}_{\otimes,\xi} = (T,r)^\Delta$ be a simple, symmetric tensor presheaf having an embedding. Then there is a group net bundle $\mathfrak{G} = (G,i)_\Delta$ with a full symmetric tensor *-monofunctor $\widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}_{\otimes,\xi} \to \mathbf{bun}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\Delta, \mathbf{Hilb})$.*

Now, any section $\varrho \in \mathbf{obj}\, \widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}_{\otimes,\xi}$ defines a presheaf bundle ${}_\beta\widehat{\varrho} = (\widehat{\varrho}, r^\varrho)_\Delta$, constructed as follows. Given $a \in \Delta$, the fibre $\widehat{\varrho}_a$ has objects the tensor powers $\varrho_a^n = \varrho_a \otimes \ldots \otimes \varrho_a$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and arrows

$${}_\beta(\varrho_a^n, \varrho_a^m) \ := \ \{t_a \ : \ t \in (\varrho^n, \varrho^m)_{\geq a}\} \ ,$$

where, for any $\varrho, \varsigma \in \mathbf{obj}\, \widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}_{\otimes,\xi}$, we used the notation

$$(\varrho, \varsigma)_{\geq a} \ := \ \{t = \{t_o \in (\varrho^o, \varsigma^o)\}_{o \supseteq a} \mid r_{oo'}(t_{o'}) = t_o \ , \ \forall o \subseteq o'\}$$

(see [28, Prop.3.4] for details). The restriction functors $r^\varrho_{aa'} : \widehat{\varrho}_{a'} \to \widehat{\varrho}_a$, $a \subseteq a'$, are defined by restricting $r_{aa'}$ to $\widehat{\varrho}_{a'}$. The following result describes ${}_\beta\widehat{\varrho}$ in terms of the presheaf bundles of Ex.2.2:

Theorem 2.3 (Holonomy, [28])**.** *Let $\mathfrak{T}_{\otimes,\xi} = (T,r)^\Delta$ be a DR-presheaf. Then for any section $\varrho \in \mathbf{obj}\, \widetilde{\mathfrak{T}}_{\otimes,\xi}$ there are a compact Lie group $G_\varrho \subseteq \mathbb{U}(d)$ such that*

$${}_\beta\widehat{\varrho} \ \in \ \mathbf{pbun}^\uparrow(\Delta, \widehat{\pi}_{G_\varrho;\otimes,\theta})$$

and a morphism

$$\chi_\varrho : \pi_1(\Delta) \to QG_\varrho := NG_\varrho / G_\varrho \ ,$$

which is the holonomy of ${}_\beta\widehat{\varrho}$. If there is an embedding of $\mathfrak{T}_{\otimes,\xi}$ then for any section ϱ there must be a lift $\widehat{\chi}_\varrho : \pi_1(\Delta) \to NG_\varrho$, $\chi_\varrho = \widehat{\chi}_\varrho \mod G_\varrho$.

The existence of embeddings can be described in cohomological terms:

Theorem 2.4 (Gerbes, [28]). *Any section* ϱ *of a DR-presheaf defines the cochain*

$$u_\varrho : \Sigma_1(\Delta) \to NG_\varrho \quad : \quad du_\varrho(c) := u_\varrho(\partial_0 c) u_\varrho(\partial_2 c) u_\varrho(\partial_1 c)^* \in G_\varrho \ , \ \forall c \in \Sigma_2(\Delta) \ .$$

(Eventual) lifts of χ_ρ *are in one-to-one correspondence with pairs* (v, g), $v : \Sigma_0(\Delta) \to NG_\varrho$, $g : \Sigma_1(\Delta) \to G_\varrho$, *such that*

$$z(b) := v(\partial_0 b) g(b) u_\varrho(b) v(\partial_1 b)^* \ \in NG_\varrho \quad , \quad \forall b \in \Sigma_1(\Delta) \ , \tag{2.15}$$

is a cocycle, i.e. $dz(c) = 1$, $\forall c \in \Sigma_2(\Delta)$.

3 Presheaves in quantum field theory.

In this section we give the main result of this paper, showing that the superselection structures over curved spacetimes introduced by Brunetti and Ruzzi ([5]) can be interpreted as section categories of simple, symmetric tensor presheaves. Models of these structures have been realized by means of massive boson fields on the Einstein spacetime ($S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$, see [4]).

Actually, the fact that a superselection structure defines a *net* $\mathfrak{T}$ of tensor C^*-categories was already recognized in [18, §3], [22, §27] (see Ex.2.1). Nevertheless $\mathfrak{T}$ does not suffice to determine the superselection structure (see [5, §5.1]); so, rather than *-endomorphisms as in [18, 22], we make use of cocycles, a point of view introduced by J.E. Roberts ([21]).

Let M be a connected manifold. A *causal disjointness relation* on M is given by a binary, symmetric relation $\perp$ defined on subsets of M. In the cases of physical interest $\perp$ encodes Einstein causality, which states that events localized in causally disjoint regions of M do not interfere one each other. The basic example is given, of course, by the relation of spacelike separation in the Minkowski spacetime, nevertheless this notion is meaningful also in general relativity (globally hyperbolic spacetimes, see [18, §2.1] for the aspects more pertinent to superselection structures).

We now fix a base Δ of M of open sets $a \subset M$ having proper, compact closure $\overline{a}$. In the case of general relativity Δ is the set of diamonds (see [18, §2.1] or, more shortly, [5, §3.1]). Restricting $\perp$ on Δ we have

$$\tilde{a} \perp a' \ \text{ and } \ a \subseteq \tilde{a} \ \Rightarrow \ a \perp a' \quad , \quad \forall a, a' \in \Delta \ .$$

To economize in notation we write $e < a$ whenever the closure of $e \in \Delta$ is a proper subset of $a \in \Delta$, and set $\Delta^a := \{e \in \Delta : e < a\}$. By [5, Lemma B.5], for any $e \in \Delta^a$ there is $o \in \Delta^a$ with $o \perp a$.

Given the Hilbert space H, a *Haag-Kastler net* over M is given by a net of von Neumann algebras $\mathfrak{R} = (R, j)_\Delta \subset B(H)$, fulfilling the following properties:

1. Einstein causality: $R_o \subseteq R'_e$ for all $e \perp o$;
2. Irreducibility: $\cap_a R'_a = \mathbb{C}1$;
3. Outer regularity: $R_a = \cap_{a<o} R_o$ for any $a \in \Delta$;
4. Borchers property: for any $a \in \Delta$, projection $E \in R_a$ and $e < a$ there is an isometry $V_e \in R_e$ such that $E = V_e V_e^*$.
5. Punctured Haag duality: if $x \in M$, then we have $R_a = \cap\{R'_o : o \in \Delta, o \perp a, \overline{o} \perp \{x\}\}$ for any $a \in \Delta$ with $\overline{a} \perp \{x\}$.

In this paper we shall not need to use explicitly the above properties, nevertheless we will apply results (specially from [5]) that assume them. The crucial property from the viewpoint of physical significance is Einstein causality, which states the principle that spacelike separated quantum observables must commute and hence there is not uncertainty between them. The standard way to construct Haag-Kastler nets on curved spacetimes is the use of free fields ([7, 30, 4]).

We now define the C^*-category $Z^1(\mathfrak{R})$ with objects unitary cocycles

$$z := \{z(b) \in UR_{|b|}\}_{b \in \Sigma_1(\Delta)} \quad : \quad dz(c) := z(\partial_0 c) z(\partial_2 c) z(\partial_1 c)^* = 1 \ , \ \forall c \in \Sigma_2(\Delta) \ ,$$

and arrows

$$(z, z') \ := \ \{ \ t = \{t_a \in R_a\}_{a \in \Delta} \ : \ t_{\partial_0 b} z(b) = z'(b) t_{\partial_1 b} \ , \ \forall b \in \Sigma_1(\Delta) \ \} \ .$$

For any path $p : a \to o$, we set

$$z(p) := z(b_n) \cdots z(b_1) \quad , \quad p = b_n * \ldots * b_1 \ .$$

In particular, we have the identity cocycle $\iota(b) := 1$, $\forall b \in \Sigma_1(\Delta)$. Note that if $t \in (\iota, \iota)$ then $t_a \equiv t_0$, $\forall a \in \Delta$, with $t_0 \in \cap_a R_a$; when $\mathfrak{R}$ is a Haag-Kastler net we have

$$\cap_{a \ni x} R_a = \mathbb{C}1 \quad , \quad \forall x \in M \tag{3.1}$$

(see [5, §3.2],[25, Eq.23]) and this implies $(\iota, \iota) = \mathbb{C}1$.

As argued in [5, §4], $Z^1(\mathfrak{R})$ encodes a superselection structure that gives rise to quantum charges affected by the topology of M: for any $z \in \mathbf{obj} \ Z^1(\mathfrak{R})$ we define $H^z_a := H$, $a \in \Delta$, and unitaries

$$U^z_{a'a} := z(a, a'; a') : H^z_a \to H^z_{a'} \quad : \quad U^z_{a''a'} U^z_{a'a} = U^z_{a''a} \quad , \quad \forall a \subseteq a' \subseteq a'' \ ,$$

defining the Hilbert net bundle $\mathfrak{H}^z := (H^z, U^z)_\Delta$, which, on turns, yields the C^*-net bundle $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{H}^z = (BH^z, \mathrm{ad} U^z)_\Delta$ carrying the representation

$$\pi^z : \mathfrak{R} \to \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{H}^z \quad , \quad \pi^z_a(t) := z(p) t z(p)^* \quad , \quad \forall a \in \Delta \ , \ t \in R_a \ , \ \text{with } p : a \to o \ , \ o \perp a \tag{3.2}$$

(see [5, Appendix A]). When $\mathfrak{H}_z$ is trivial we have sectors in the classical sense (*topologically trivial sectors*), that define representations of Fredenhagen's universal algebra $\vec{R}$ ([17]).

The rest of the section is devoted to the proof that $Z^1(\mathfrak{R})$ is the category of sections of a simple, symmetric tensor presheaf. This automatically gives to $Z^1(\mathfrak{R})$ the structure of a simple, symmetric tensor C^*-category, that, as we shall see, coincides with the one defined in [5, §5]. For reader's convenience, and due to the fact that we shall work with restricted nets which in general do not fulfil Haag duality, we often shall write explicitly standard computations.

3.1 Charge structure.

To introduce the charge structure we follow a standard way and, given $a \in \Delta$, define the set $\mathbf{loc} R_a \subseteq \mathbf{end} R_a$ whose elements are *-endomorphims

$$\rho \in \mathbf{end} R_a \ \mid \ \exists e < a \ : \ \begin{cases} \rho(R_o) \subseteq R_o \ , \ \forall o \supseteq e, o < a, \\ \rho = id_{R_o} \ , \ \forall o \perp e, o < a \ . \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

In the sequel we shall keep in evidence the *localization region* $e \in \Delta^a$ by writing $\rho_e \equiv \rho$. Note that $\tau_e := \rho_e \circ \sigma_e \in \mathbf{loc} R_a$, $\forall \rho_e, \sigma_e \in \mathbf{loc} R_a$.

We now consider the category $Z^1_c(\mathfrak{R})_{<a}$ with objects pairs $(z,\rho) \equiv z_\rho$, where

$$z = \{ z(b) \in UR_{|b|} \}_{b \in \Sigma_1(\Delta^a)} \ \ , \ \ \rho = \{ \rho_e \in \mathbf{loc} R_a \}_{e \in \Delta^a} \ ,$$

fulfil

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} dz(c) = 1 \ \ , \ \ \forall c \in \Sigma_2(\Delta^a) \ , \\ z(b) \cdot \rho_{\partial_1 b}(t) = \rho_{\partial_0 b}(t) \cdot z(b) \ \ , \ \ \forall b \in \Sigma_1(\Delta^a) \ , \ t \in R_a \ . \end{array} \right. \tag{3.4}$$

The first equation says that z is a cocycle defined on the "local" poset Δ^a. The second one yields an interpretation of z as the flat connection transporting from $\partial_1 b$ to $\partial_0 b$ the quantum charge represented by ρ (see [22, §25-28] or the friendly [9]) and implies that z uniquely determines ρ_e on R_e for any $e < a$. For, if $o \in \Delta^a$ with $o \perp e$ and $p : e \to o$ is a path in Δ^a then

$$\rho_e(T') \overset{(3.4)}{=} z(p)^* \rho_o(T') z(p) \ , \ \forall T' \in R_a \ \ \Rightarrow \ \ \rho_e(T) \overset{(3.3)}{=} z(p)^* T z(p) \ \ , \ \ \forall T \in R_e \ . \tag{3.5}$$

The arrows of $Z^1_c(\mathfrak{R})_{<a}$ are given by

$$(z_\rho, w_\sigma) \ := \ \{ \ t = \{ t_e \in R_e \}_{e \in \Delta^a} \ : \ t_{\partial_0 b} z(b) = w(b) t_{\partial_1 b} \ , \ \forall b \in \Sigma_1(\Delta^a) \ \} \tag{3.6}$$

(note that ρ, σ play no role in the definition of (z_ρ, w_σ)). Applying repeatedly (3.4) to a path $p = b_n * \ldots * b_1 : e \to o$, with $e \perp o < a$, we have that if $t \in (z_\rho, w_\sigma)$ then

$$t_o z_\rho(p) \ = \ w_\sigma(p) t_e \ \ \Rightarrow \ \ T w_\sigma(p) t_e = T t_o z_\rho(p) \overset{Einstein\_causality}{=} t_o T z_\rho(p) \ \ , \ \ \forall T \in R_e \ ,$$

so, applying (3.5),

$$\sigma_e(T) \, t_e \ = \ t_e \, \rho_e(T) \ \ , \ \ \forall t \in (z_\rho, w_\sigma) \ , \ e < a \ , \ T \in R_e \ . \tag{3.7}$$

We define the tensor product on each $Z^1_c(\mathfrak{R})_{<a}$, $a \in \Delta$. To this end, given z_ρ, w_σ, $z'_{\rho'}, w'_{\sigma'} \in \mathbf{obj} Z^1_c(\mathfrak{R})_{<a}$, $t \in (z_\rho, z'_{\rho'})$, $s \in (w_\sigma, w'_{\sigma'})$, we set

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{ z \otimes w \}_{\rho \circ \sigma}(b) := z_\rho(b) \rho_{\partial_1 b}(w_\sigma(b)) \ \ , \ \ \forall e < a \ , \ b \in \Sigma_1(\Delta^a) \ , \\ (t \otimes s)_e := t_e \rho_e(s_e) \ , \ \forall e < a \ . \end{array} \right. \tag{3.8}$$

The fact that the above-defined relations yield a tensor product on $Z^1_c(\mathfrak{R})_{<a}$ follows by elementary *-algebraic computations (analogous to those in [22, §27], [25, §4.2.1]), anyway for convenience of non-specialists we recall some of them. A first point is that since any ρ_e, $e < a$, is a unital *-endomorphism we have that $z_\rho(b) \rho_{\partial_1 b}(w_\sigma(b))$ is unitary. Moreover, (3.3) implies that $z_\rho(b) \rho_{\partial_1 b}(w_\sigma(b)) \in R_{|b|}$ for all $b \in \Sigma_1(\Delta^a)$. The cocycle relations follow by computing, for all $c \in \Sigma_2(\Delta^a)$,

$$\begin{aligned} z_\rho(\partial_0 c) \rho_{\partial_{10} c}(w_\sigma(\partial_0 c)) \cdot z_\rho(\partial_2 c) \rho_{\partial_{12} c}(w_\sigma(\partial_2 c)) \ & = z_\rho(\partial_0 c) z_\rho(\partial_2 c) \rho_{\partial_{12} c}(w_\sigma(\partial_0 c)) \rho_{\partial_{12} c}(w_\sigma(\partial_2 c)) = \\ & = z_\rho(\partial_1 c) \rho_{\partial_{12}}(w_\sigma(\partial_1 c)) = \\ & = z_\rho(\partial_1 c) \rho_{\partial_{11}}(w_\sigma(\partial_1 c)) \ , \end{aligned}$$

having used repeatdly (3.4), the composition rule of face maps $\partial_{12} = \partial_{11}$ (see (2.1) or [23, Eq.1]), and the cocycle relations of z, w. Passing to "charges", for all $t \in R_a$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} z_\rho(b) \rho_{\partial_1 b}(w_\sigma(b)) \cdot \{ \rho_{\partial_1 b} \circ \sigma_{\partial_1 b} \}(t) \ & = \ z_\rho(b) \cdot \rho_{\partial_1 b}(w_\sigma(b) \sigma_{\partial_1 b}(t)) \ = \ \rho_{\partial_0 b}(\sigma_{\partial_0 b}(t) w_\sigma(b)) \cdot z_\rho(b) \ = \\ & = \{ \rho_{\partial_0 b} \circ \sigma_{\partial_0 b} \}(t) \cdot z_\rho(b) \rho_{\partial_1 b}(w_\sigma(b)) \ , \end{aligned}$$

so (3.4(2)) is fulfilled for $z \otimes w$ and $(z \otimes w)_{\rho\circ\sigma} \in \mathbf{obj}\ Z^1_c(\mathfrak{R})_{<a}$. To verify that $t \otimes s$ is an arrow in $(\ (z \otimes w)_{\rho\circ\sigma}\ ,\ (z' \otimes w')_{\rho'\circ\sigma'}\ )$ we compute

$$\begin{array}{rcl} t_{\partial_0 b}\rho_{\partial_0 b}(s_{\partial_0 b}) \cdot z_\rho(b)\rho_{\partial_1 b}(w_\sigma(b)) & = & t_{\partial_0 b} z_\rho(b) \cdot \rho_{\partial_1 b}(s_{\partial_0 b} w_\sigma(b)) = \\ & = & z'_{\rho'}(b) t_{\partial_1 b}\rho_{\partial_1 b}(w'_{\sigma'}(b))\rho_{\partial_1 b}(s_{\partial_1 b}) = \\ & \overset{(3.7)}{=} & z'_{\rho'}(b)\rho'_{\partial_1 b}(w'_{\sigma'}(b)) \cdot t_{\partial_1 b}\rho_{\partial_1 b}(s_{\partial_1 b})\ , \end{array}$$

obtaining the desired relations.

Remark 3.1. *Let* $\iota^a \in \mathbf{obj}\ Z^1_c(\mathfrak{R})_{<a}$ *be the pair defined by the identity cocycle of* $Z^1(\mathfrak{R})_{<a}$ *and the constant family with element the identity* $id_{R_a} \in \mathbf{aut} R_a$. *Clearly* ι^a *is the identity object of the tensor product of* $Z^1(\mathfrak{R})_{<a}$, *and, given* $x \in a$, *we have*

$$\mathbb{C}1\ \subseteq\ (\iota^a, \iota^a)\ =\ \cap_{e<a} R_e\ \subseteq\ \cap_{e<a : x \in e} R_e\ \overset{(!)}{=}\ \cap_{a \ni x} R_a\ \overset{(3.1)}{=}\ \mathbb{C}1\ ,$$

where for the equality (!) *we used the fact that* $\{a \in \Delta : x \in a\}$ *is downward directed. So* $(\iota^a, \iota^a) = \mathbb{C}1$ *and* $Z^1_c(\mathfrak{R})_{<a}$ *is simple.*

3.2 Symmetry.

As a final step we consider the symmetry structure. This is an other essential ingredient at the level of duality theory ([12, §1]) and, at the same time, encodes the Fermi-Bose statistics (see [9] for a friendly introduction). We introduce the family of unitary operators

$$\epsilon(z_\rho, w_\sigma)_e := w_\sigma(p_{oe})^* \sigma_o(z_\rho(p_{o'e}))^* \cdot z_\rho(p_{o'e})\rho_e(w_\sigma(p_{oe}))\ \ ,\ \ \forall e < a\ , \tag{3.9}$$

where $p_{oe} : e \to o$, $p_{o'e} : e \to o'$ are paths in Δ^a such that $o \perp o'$. The fact the for any $a \in \Delta$ there are causally disjoint $o, o' < a$ is an immediate consequence of [5, Lemma B.5], so any $\epsilon(z_\rho, w_\sigma)_e$, $e < a$, is well-defined as an element of $UH \cap R_a$. By Lemma A.2 we have that $\epsilon(z_\rho, w_\sigma)_e$ is independent of the choice of p_{oe}, $p_{o'e}$, o and o'.

Now, the family $\epsilon(z_\rho, w_\sigma)$ fulfils the symmetry relations of [12, §1], which, in our setting, take the form

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \epsilon(z_\rho, w_\sigma)_{\partial_0 b} \cdot (z \otimes w)_{\rho\circ\sigma}(b)\ =\ (w \otimes z)_{\sigma\circ\rho}(b) \cdot \epsilon(z_\rho, w_\sigma)_{\partial_1 b}\ , \\ (s \otimes t)_e \cdot \epsilon(z_\rho, w_\sigma)_e\ =\ \epsilon(z'_{\rho'}, w'_{\sigma'})_e \cdot (t \otimes s)_e\ , \\ \epsilon(z_\rho, w_\sigma)_e \cdot \epsilon(w_\sigma, z_\rho)_e\ =\ 1\quad ,\quad \epsilon(\iota^a, z_\rho)_e\ =\ \epsilon(z_\rho, \iota^a)_e = 1\ , \\ \epsilon((z \otimes w)_{\rho\circ\sigma}, v_\tau)_e\ =\ \epsilon(z_\rho, v_\tau)_e \cdot \rho_e(\epsilon(w_\sigma, v_\tau)_e)\ , \end{array} \right. \tag{3.10}$$

$\forall e < a$, $z_\rho, z'_{\rho'}, w_\sigma, w'_{\sigma'}, v_\tau \in \mathbf{obj}\ Z^1_c(\mathfrak{R})_{<a}$, $t \in (z_\rho, z'_{\rho'})$, $s \in (w_\sigma, w'_{\sigma'})$, $b \in \Sigma_1(\Delta^a)$. The explicit computations, that are on the line of [22, 25, 5], are postponed in §A and make use of the fact that we must have $s \geq 2$ spatial dimensions. Nevertheless in general $\epsilon(z_\rho, w_\sigma)$ *does not* define a symmetry, because we should have

$$\epsilon(z_\rho, w_\sigma)_e \in R_e\ \ ,\ \ \forall e < a\ .$$

Now, the standard way to prove the above property would be the following: in general, if $t \in (\rho_e, \sigma_e)$ then

$$tt' = t\rho_e(t') = \sigma_e(t')t = t't\ ,\ \forall o \perp e, t' \in R_o\ \Rightarrow\ t \in R'_o\ ,\ \forall o \perp e\ ,$$

and this, by (punctured) Haag duality, suffices to conclude that $t \in R_e$. In particular, in our case we can verify that $\epsilon(z_\rho, w_\sigma)_e \in (\sigma_e\rho_e, \rho_e\sigma_e)$, nevertheless we are working with families of operators in the restricted net

$$\mathfrak{R}|_{\Delta^a}\ =\ (\{R_e\}_{e<a}\ , \jmath)_{\Delta^a}\ ,$$

that could violate punctured Haag duality because, given $x \in M$, we expect that the inclusion

$$R_e \ = \ \cap_{o \perp e, \overline{o} \perp \{x\}} R'_o \ \ \subseteq \ \ R^a_e \ := \ \cap_{o \perp e, o < a} R'_o$$

may be proper for $e < a$. So we only can conclude that $\epsilon(z_\rho, w_\sigma)_e \in R^a_e$, $e < a$. In the next paragraph we show how this difficulty is avoided using Rem.2.1.

3.3 The main result.

We now define a presheaf structure on the family $Z^1_c(\mathfrak{R})_< := \{Z^1_c(\mathfrak{R})_{<a}\}_{a \in \Delta}$, by means of the restriction functors

$$r_{aa'} : Z^1_c(\mathfrak{R})_{<a'} \to Z^1_c(\mathfrak{R})_{<a} \quad , \quad (\ r_{aa'} z := z|_{\Sigma_1(\Delta^a)} \ , \ r_{aa'} \rho := \{\rho_e\}_{e \in \Delta^a} \ ) \ , \ r_{aa'} t := \{t_e\}_{e \in \Delta^a} \ ,$$

which obviously fulfil the presheaf relations $r_{aa''} = r_{aa'} \circ r_{a'a''}$, $\forall a \subseteq a' \leq a''$.

Lemma 3.2. *The pair* $\mathfrak{Z}_\otimes := (Z^1_c(\mathfrak{R})_<, r)^\Delta$ *defines a simple tensor presheaf. Moreover we have*

$$\epsilon(z_\rho, w_\sigma)_e \ = \ \epsilon(\ r_{aa'} z_\rho, r_{aa'} w_\sigma \ )_e \quad , \quad \forall e < a \subseteq a' \ , \tag{3.11}$$

where $z_\rho, w_\sigma \in \mathbf{obj} \ Z^1_c(\mathfrak{R})_{<a'}$.

Proof. We assume $a \subset a'$, otherwise there is nothing to prove. Let $z_\rho, w_\sigma \in \mathbf{obj} \ Z^1_c(\mathfrak{R})_{<a'}$ and $t \in (z_\rho, w_\sigma)$. Then for any path $p : e' \to e$ such that $e < a$, $e' < a'$, $|p| \subseteq \Delta^{a'}$, we have

$$\|t_e\|^2 = \|z(p) t_{e'} w(p)^*\|^2 = \|w(p) t^*_{e'} t_{e'} w(p)^*\| = \|t^*_{e'} t_{e'}\| = \|t_{e'}\|^2 \ ,$$

so $r_{aa'}$ is isometric and $\mathfrak{Z}$ is a presheaf. In the following lines we prove that any $r_{aa'}$, $a \subseteq a'$, preserves tensor product and the families $\epsilon(\cdot,\cdot)$. The fact that the tensor product is preserved is evident by (3.8). Passing to "symmetry", we consider $a \subseteq a'$, $z_\rho, w_\sigma \in Z^1_c(\mathfrak{R})_{<a'}$ and note that the operators

$$\epsilon(\ r_{aa'} z_\rho, r_{aa'} w_\sigma \ )_e \quad , \quad e \in \Delta^a \ ,$$

should be defined, according to (3.9), using paths p_{oe}, $p_{o'e}$ in Δ^a with $o \perp o'$; but since $\Delta^a \subseteq \Delta^{a'}$ and $\Sigma_1(\Delta^a) \subseteq \Sigma_1(\Delta^{a'})$ we have that p_{oe}, $p_{o'e}$ are paths also in $\Delta^{a'}$, so, since any $\epsilon(z_\rho, w_\sigma)_e$, $e \in \Delta^{a'}$, is independent on the choice of p_{oe}, $p_{o'e}$, we obtain (3.11) as desired. □

Lemma 3.3. $Z^1(\mathfrak{R})$ *is the category of sections of* $\mathfrak{Z}_\otimes$.

Proof. If $z \in \mathbf{obj} \ Z^1(\mathfrak{R})$ then we define the family $z^a := z|_{\Sigma_1(\Delta^a)}$, $\forall a \in \Delta$; to add a family of charges, for any $e < a$ we set

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Delta(\perp a, e) \ := \ \{p \ : \ \partial_1 p \perp a, \partial_0 p = e\} \ , \\ \varrho^a_e(t) \ := \ \{\mathrm{ad} z(p)\}(t) \ , \ \forall t \in R_a \ , \quad \text{where} \ \ p \in \Delta(\perp a, e) \ . \end{array} \right.$$

By [5, Eq.4.5], ϱ^a_e does not depend on the choice of $p \in \Delta(\perp a, e)$, and [5, Lemma 5.1(i,iv)] [3] imply that $\varrho^a_e \in \mathbf{loc} R_a$. Using [5, Lemma 5.1(ii)] we find

$$z(b) \cdot \varrho^a_{\partial_1 b}(t) = \varrho^a_{\partial_0 b}(t) \cdot z(b) \quad , \quad \forall a \in \Delta \ , \ t \in R_a \ , \ b \in \Sigma_1(\Delta^a) \ , \tag{3.12}$$

---

[3] In this reference an $x \in M$ is chosen with $a \perp \{x\}$, and we have the notation $\varrho^a_e \equiv y^z_x(e)|_{R_a}$, $\forall e \in \Delta^a$. In the above reference it is also proved that ϱ^a_e is independent of x.

so

$$z^a_\varrho \ := \ (\ z^a \ , \ \varrho^a := \{ \varrho^a_e \}_{e<a} \ ) \ \in \ \mathbf{obj} \ Z^1_c(\mathfrak{R})_{<a} \ .$$

Let now $a \subseteq a'$; then $\Delta(\perp a', e) \subseteq \Delta(\perp a, e)$ so, by path-invariance of $\varrho^{a'}_e$,

$$\varrho^{a'}_e|_{R_a} \ := \ \mathrm{ad} z(p')|_{R_a} \ = \ \varrho^a_e \quad , \quad \forall p \in \Delta(\perp a', e) \subseteq \Delta(\perp a, e) \ ,$$

and we conclude that $\{z^a_\varrho\}_a$ is a section of $\mathfrak{Z}$. If $t \in (z,w)$ then defining $t^a_e := t_e$, $\forall e < a$, clearly yields an arrow t^a of $Z^1_c(\mathfrak{R})_{<a}$ and a section $\widetilde{t} := \{t^a\}$, so $Z^1(\mathfrak{R})$ is a subcategory of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{Z}}$. On the other side, let us consider a section

$$(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{\varrho}) \in \mathbf{obj} \ \widetilde{\mathfrak{Z}} \quad , \quad (\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{\varrho}) \ = \ \{ (\widetilde{z}^a, \widetilde{\varrho}^a) \in \mathbf{obj} \ Z^1_c(\mathfrak{R})_{<a} \}_{a \in \Delta} \ .$$

Since any $a \in \Delta$ has proper closure in M, for any $b \in \Sigma_1(\Delta)$ there is $a \in \Delta$ such that $|b| \in \Sigma_1(\Delta^a)$ and we define $z(b) := \widetilde{z}^a(b)$. Since $\widetilde{z}$ is a section, the previous definition does not depend on the choice of $a > |b|$; moreover given $c \in \Sigma_2(\Delta)$ we have $dz(c) = d\widetilde{z}^a(c) = 1$ for any $a > |c|$ so $z \in \mathbf{obj} \ Z^1(\mathfrak{R})$. If $\widetilde{t} := \{\widetilde{t}^a\}$ is an arrow of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{Z}}$ then for any $e \in \Delta$ there must be some $a \in \Delta$ with $e < a$ and we set $t_e := \widetilde{t}^a_e$, so reasoning as above we have that $t := \{t_e\}$ is an arrow of $Z^1(\mathfrak{R})$. We conclude that $Z^1(\mathfrak{R}) = \widetilde{\mathfrak{Z}}$ as desired. □

Lemma 3.4. *The subpresheaf* $\mathfrak{S} := \mathfrak{Z}^\downarrow$*, defined as in Rem.2.1, is a simple, symmetric tensor presheaf and* $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}} = Z^1(\mathfrak{R})$*.*

Proof. By definition we have $\mathfrak{S} = (S,r)^\Delta$, where each S_a, $a \in \Delta$, is the full subcategory of $Z^1_c(\mathfrak{R})_{<a}$ with objects

$$\mathbf{obj} \ S_a \ := \ \{ z^a_\varrho \ , \ z \in \mathbf{obj} \ Z^1(\mathfrak{R}) \} \ .$$

By Rem.2.1 we already know that $\widetilde{\mathfrak{S}} = Z^1(\mathfrak{R})$. Moreover $\mathfrak{S}$ is clearly simple and tensorial, so we only have to check the symmetry property. To this end we note that we already know from §3.2 that, given $o, o' < a$, $o \perp o'$,

$$\epsilon(z^a_\varrho, w^a_\varsigma)_e \ := \ w_\varsigma(p_{oe})^* \varsigma^a_o(z_\varrho(p_{o'e}))^* \cdot z_\varrho(p_{o'e}) \varrho^a_e(w_\varsigma(p_{oe})) \quad , \quad e < a \ ,$$

fulfils the symmetry relations, so we only have to prove that $\epsilon(z^a_\varrho, w^a_\varsigma)_e \in R_e$ for all $e \in \Delta^a$. Now, the point is that $\epsilon(z^a_\varrho, w^a_\varsigma)$ is defined exactly as in [5, Eq.5.10] and this time, due to the fact that z, w *are globally defined*, the charges $\varrho^a_e, \varsigma^a_e$ extend to endomorphisms $\varrho^x_e, \varsigma^x_e \in \mathbf{end} R^\perp_x$, where $R^\perp_x := \cup_{\overline{\omega} \perp \{x\}} R_\omega$ for any $x \in M$ such that $\{x\} \perp \overline{e}$ (see [5, §5.1]). The property (3.7) now holds in the form

$$\epsilon(z^a_\varrho, w^a_\varsigma)_e \cdot \{ \varrho^x_e \circ \varsigma^x_e \}(t) \ = \ \{ \varsigma^x_e \circ \varrho^x_e \}(t) \cdot \epsilon(z^a_\varrho, w^a_\varsigma)_e \quad , \quad \forall t \in R^\perp_x \ ,$$

at varying of $x \in M$ with $\{x\} \perp \overline{e}$ (see [5, Lemma 5.1(iii)]). So, as remarked in §3.2,

$$\epsilon(z^a_\varrho, w^a_\varsigma)_e \cdot t' \ = \ t' \cdot \epsilon(z^a_\varrho, w^a_\varsigma)_e \quad , \quad \forall t' \in R_\omega \subseteq R^\perp_x \ , \ \overline{\omega} \perp \{x\} \ , \ \omega \perp e \ ,$$

and we conclude, by punctured Haag duality, that $\epsilon(z^a_\varrho, w^a_\varsigma)_e \in R_e$ as desired. □

Remark 3.5 (On the evaluation functor of $Z^1(\mathfrak{R})$)**.** *Let us consider the evaluation functor on* $a \in \Delta$,

$$r_a : Z^1(\mathfrak{R}) \to S_a \quad , \quad z \mapsto z^a_\varrho \ , \ t \mapsto t|_{\Delta^a} \ .$$

In general r_a *is not injective on the objects, in fact it* ***forgets*** *the topological content of* z *defined in [5, Eq.6.3], because the holonomy of* z^a_ϱ *is necessarily trivial due to the fact that* $\pi_1(\Delta^a) = \mathbf{0}$*. On the other side, we expect that in general* r_a *is not surjective on the arrows. For, if* $t \in (z,w)$ *is an* *arrow in* $Z^1(\mathfrak{R})$*, then for any globally defined loop* $p : e \to e$*,* $e \in \Delta^a$*, we must have the invariance condition*

$$t_e = w(p)^* t_e z(p) \ ;$$

since $z(q) = z^a_\varrho(q) = w(q) = w^a_\varsigma(q) = 1$ *for any loop* $q : e \to e$ *in* Δ^a*, the above invariance is not imposed on arrows of* S_a*, so we expect that* $(z^a_\varrho, w^a_\varsigma)$ *is larger than* (z, w)*.*

From the previous Lemmata, and since (by [5, §5]) $Z^1(\mathfrak{R})$ is a DR-category, we obtain:

Theorem 3.6. *Let* $\mathfrak{R} = (R, j)_\Delta \subseteq B(H)$ *be a Haag-Kastler net. Then* $\mathfrak{S} := (S, r)^\Delta$ *is a simple, symmetric tensor presheaf such that* $Z^1(\mathfrak{R})$ *is the category of sections of* $\mathfrak{S}$*, and this makes* $\mathfrak{S}$ *a DR-presheaf.*

In the following points we list some consequences of the previous theorem.

1. By Theorem 2.3, any sector $z \in \mathbf{obj}\ Z^1(\mathfrak{R})$ defines a compact Lie group $G_z \subseteq \mathbb{U}(d)$ and a holonomy representation $\chi_z : \pi_1(M) \to QG_z := NG_z/G_z$, which is a complete invariant of the presheaf bundle $\widehat{z}_{\otimes,\epsilon}$ of tensor powers of z (see (2.14)).
2. By Theorem 2.2, any embedding $I : \mathfrak{S} \to \mathfrak{C}$ yields a full monomorphism of symmetric tensor C^*-categories $Z^1(\mathfrak{R}) \simeq \mathbf{bun}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\Delta, \mathbf{Hilb})$, where $\mathfrak{G}$ is a compact group net bundle. If we consider the subcategory $Z^1_t(\mathfrak{R})$ of "topologically trivial" sectors (that is, of those $z \in Z^1(\mathfrak{R})$ such that $z(b) = \psi^*_{\partial_0 b} \psi_{\partial_1 b}$ for some $\psi = \{\psi_a \in UR_a\}$) then we have trivial holonomy χ_z for any $z \in \mathbf{obj}\ Z^1_t(\mathfrak{R})$ and there is at least one lift $\widehat{\chi}_z$, the trivial one. We have a full monomorphism $Z^1_t(\mathfrak{R}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{bun}_{\mathfrak{G}_t}(\Delta, \mathbf{Hilb})$, where $\mathfrak{G}_t$ is the trivial net bundle with fibre the Doplicher-Roberts dual G_t of $Z^1_t(\mathfrak{R})$. But by the considerations in [28], we may also have some nontrivial $\mathfrak{G}$ with $Z^1_t(\mathfrak{R}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{bun}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\Delta, \mathbf{Hilb})$.
3. By Theorem 2.4, any sector $z \in \mathbf{obj}\ Z^1(\mathfrak{R})$ defines a non-abelian cocycle $u_z : \Sigma_1(\Delta) \to NG_z$, that classifies lifts $\widehat{\chi}_z : \pi_1(\Delta) \to NG_z$, $q \circ \widehat{\chi}_z = \chi_z$.
4. Let $P_z \to M$ denote the locally constant, principal QG_z-bundle defined by χ_z. Then by (2.5) we have characteristic classes $\zeta^\uparrow(z) := [\zeta^\uparrow(P_z)] \in H^{2k-1}(M, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{K})$ describing the obstruction for χ_z being trivial, that is, the obstruction for z being a topologically trivial sector.
5. Assume $\pi_1(M) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Given a group epimorphism $q : N \to Q$, any group morphism $\chi : \pi_1(M) \to Q$ has at least one lift, defined by picking some $u \in q^{-1}(\chi(1))$. So any $z \in Z^1(\mathfrak{R})$ is liftable and this suggests that there must be at least a dual group net bundle.
6. Let $\mathfrak{R}$ be a Haag-Kastler net such that G_z is trivial for any $z \in \mathbf{obj}\ Z^1(\mathfrak{R})$. Then $NG_z = QG_z = \mathbb{U}(d)$ and χ_z takes values in $\mathbb{U}(d)$. By (2.6) we have Chern classes

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} c_k(z) := c^\uparrow_k(\chi_z) \in H^{2k-1}(M, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \ , \ \forall k = 1, \dots , d \ , \\ ccs(z) := d + \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \ c_k(z)_{\mathrm{mod}\mathbb{Q}} \ \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus H^{odd}(M, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}) \ . \end{array} \right. \tag{3.13}$$

   This is the situation that we expect to hold for quantum fields with trivial superselection structure in the Minkowski spacetime, as, for example, the free electromagnetic field. (3.13) is an interesting clue supporting the idea that Brunetti-Ruzzi sectors describe Aharonov-Bohm-type situations ([5, §7]): in fact, in the same way the monodromy phase of the quantum electromagnetic potential is described by a class in $H^1(M, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ ([15, §15.5],[14, 1]), the Chern character $ccs(z)$ describes the holonomy defined by the sector $z \in \mathbf{obj}\ Z^1(\mathfrak{R})$. In this regard, we may ask whether we could interpret the results of [3] in these terms.

4 Group bundles as gauge symmetries.

In the previous sections we showed how the superselection structure $Z^1(\mathfrak{R})$ can be described by a presheaf and, as a consequence of [28], as the dual of a group (net) bundle $\mathfrak{G}$ when an embedding is given. To illustrate how we expext that $\mathfrak{G}$ should behave like a gauge group we give a class of examples, obtained by twisting ordinary field nets in curved spacetimes, in which an action of the type (2.11) is implemented and representations of the type (3.2) appear.

Let $\mathfrak{F} = (F, \jmath)_\Delta$ be a net of C^*-algebras. We say that $\mathfrak{F}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-*graded* whenever there is a period 2 automorphism

$$\beta_a : F_a \to F_a \quad : \quad \beta_{a'} \circ \jmath_{a'a} = \jmath_{a'a} \circ \beta_a \quad , \quad \beta_a^2 = id_{F_a} \quad , \quad \forall a \subseteq a' \in \Delta \ .$$

In this case we set

$$F_a^\pm \ := \ \{ t \in F_a : \beta_a(t) = \pm t \} \quad , \quad \forall a \in \Delta \ ,$$

so we have the usual projections

$$F_a \to F_a^\pm \ , \ t \mapsto t^\pm := 1/2(t \pm \beta_a(t)) \quad , \quad \forall a \in \Delta \ .$$

The following definition of normal commutation relations is a variant of [5, Eq.3.6] taking account, differently from the above-cited reference, of the net structure of the involved representation (Nevertheless, all the results that we shall prove remain valid with the older definition). We say that a representation (π, U) of $\mathfrak{F}$ is *normal* whenever for all $a, o \in \Delta$ with $a \perp o$, $t_1 \in F_a$, $t_2 \in F_o$, it turns out

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} [\pi_a(t_1^+) \, , \, \pi_o(t_2^+)] = [\pi_a(t_1^+) \, , \, \pi_o(t_2^-)] = [\pi_a(t_1^-) \, , \, \pi_o(t_2^+)] = 0 \ , \\ \left[\pi_a(t_1^-) \, , \, \pi_o(t_2^-)\right]_+ = 0 \ , \end{array} \right. \tag{4.1}$$

where the (anti)commutators are in the sense of (2.10) (with the convention $[\cdot, \cdot]_- \equiv [\cdot, \cdot]$).

Let now H_* denote a Hilbert space. A $\mathbb{Z}_2$-*graded group on* H_* is given by a group G_* of unitary operators on H_*, metrizable and compact under the strong topology, and a central element $\gamma \in ZG_*$, $\gamma^2 = 1$, where $1 \in G_*$ is the identity. A (G_*, γ)-*net of von Neumann algebras* is a net

$$\mathfrak{F}_* = (F_*, \jmath_*)_\Delta \ \subset BH_*$$

represented on the Hilbert space H_* (that is, $\jmath_*$ is given by inclusion maps), such that

$$\alpha_a^g(t) := gtg^* \in F_{*,a} \quad , \quad \forall g \in G_* \ , \ t \in F_{*,a} \ , \ a \in \Delta \ . \tag{4.2}$$

We denote the fixed-point subnet by $\mathfrak{R}_* = (R_*, \jmath_*)_\Delta$, where

$$R_{*,a} := F_{*,a} \cap G_*' \quad , \quad \forall a \in \Delta \ .$$

Note that γ induces a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading on $\mathfrak{F}_*$, and we say that $\mathfrak{F}_*$ is *normal* whenever the Hilbert space representation $(id_{F_*}, 1)$ is normal in the sense of the previous lines (by (2.10), this agrees with the classical definition used, for example, in [13]). Finally, we define the normalizer group

$$N_\gamma G_* \subset UH_* \ : \ V \in N_\gamma G_* \Leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} VF_{*,a}V^* = F_{*,a} \ , \ \forall a \in \Delta \ , \\ VgV^* \in G_* \ , \ \forall g \in G_* \ , \\ V\gamma V^* = \gamma \ . \end{array} \right. \tag{4.3}$$

Lemma 4.1 (Twists of field nets)**.** *Let* (G_*, γ) *be a* $\mathbb{Z}_2$*-graded group in the Hilbert space* H_* *and* $\mathfrak{F}_*$ *a normal* (G_*, γ)*-net of von Neumann algebras on* H_**. Then for any morphism*

$$\chi : \pi_1(M) \to N_\gamma G_* \subset UH_*$$

there are:

1. *A group net bundle* $\mathfrak{G} = (G, \hat{\imath})_\Delta$ *with fibre* G_**;*
2. *A* $\mathbb{Z}_2$*-graded net of* C^**-algebras* $\mathfrak{F} = (F, \jmath)_\Delta$*;*
3. *A gauge action* $\mathfrak{G} \times_\Delta \mathfrak{F} \to \mathfrak{F}$*, with fixed point subnet* $\mathfrak{R} = (R, \jmath)_\Delta$*;*
4. *A Hilbert net bundle* $\mathfrak{H} = (H, U)_\Delta$ *with holonomy* χ *and a normal representation* $\pi : \mathfrak{F} \to \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{H}$*;*
5. *A causal* [4] *representation* $(\pi|_R, U|_R)$ *of* $\mathfrak{R}$*.*

Proof. Point 1. Given $\omega \in \Delta$ and a path frame P_ω as in (2.2) we define

$$\imath_{a'a} := \chi(p_{\omega a'} * (a', a; a') * p_{a\omega}) \quad , \quad \forall a \subseteq a' \ .$$

By homotopy invariance the family $\imath$ fulfils $\imath_{a''a'}\imath_{a'a} = \imath_{a''a}$, $\forall a \subseteq a' \subseteq a''$ (see [25, §2.2]), so we set

$$G_a \equiv G_* \ , \ \hat{\imath}_{a'a}(g) := \imath_{a'a} g \, \imath_{a'a}^* \quad , \quad \forall g \in G_* \ , \ a \subseteq a' \in \Delta \ .$$

Point 2. We define $F_a := F_{*,a}$, $\forall a \in \Delta$, and

$$\jmath_{a'a} : F_a \to F_{a'} \quad , \quad \jmath_{a'a}(t) := i_{a'a} t \, i_{a'a}^* \quad , \quad \forall a \subseteq a' \ , \ t \in F_a \ .$$

Since $\imath_{a''a'}\imath_{a'a} = \imath_{a''a}$, $\forall a \subseteq a' \subseteq a''$, we conclude that $\mathfrak{F} = (F, \jmath)_\Delta$ is a net. Moreover, since

$$\imath_{a'a}\gamma \ = \ \gamma \, \imath_{a'a} \quad , \quad \forall a \subseteq a' \ ,$$

we have a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading on $\mathfrak{F}$ given by adjoint action of γ. *Point 3.* Taking into account that $G_a \equiv G_*$ and $F_a = F_{*,a}$ for all $a \in \Delta$ we define $\alpha_a^g \in \mathbf{aut} F_a$ as in (4.2). To check that α is really a gauge action, (2.11) must be fulfilled, so we compute, for all $a \in \Delta$, $g \in G_a$, $t \in F_a$,

$$\alpha_{a'}^{\hat{\imath}_{a'a}(g)} \circ \jmath_{a'a}(t) \ = \ \imath_{a'a} g \, \imath_{a'a}^* \cdot \imath_{a'a} t \, \imath_{a'a}^* \cdot \imath_{a'a} g^* \, \imath_{a'a}^* \ = \ \imath_{a'a} g t g^* \imath_{a'a}^* \ = \ \jmath_{a'a} \circ \alpha_a^g(t) \ ,$$

and this yields the desired equalities. Note that $R_a = R_{*,a}$ for all $a \in \Delta$ because $G_* = G_a$. *Point 4.* We set $H_a := H_*$, $\pi_a(t) := t$, and $U_{a'a} := \imath_{a'a}$ for all $a \subseteq a' \in \Delta$, $t \in F_a$. The pair (π, U) is clearly a representation, that is normal since, for all $o \perp a$, $t \in F_a$, $t' \in F_o$,

$$\pi_a(t) \cdot U_p \pi_o(t') U_p \ = \ t \cdot \imath_p t' \imath_p^* \quad , \quad \forall p : o \to a \ ,$$

and by construction $\imath_p t' \imath_p^* \in F_{*,o}$. Finally, by construction $(H, U)_\Delta$ has holonomy χ. *Point 5.* Since $\mathfrak{F}$ is graded by $\gamma \in G_*$ we have $R_a \subseteq F_a^+$, $\forall a \in \Delta$, so $\pi|_R$ is causal by applying (4.1). □

The following notion is a natural generalization of [13, Def.3.4]. Let $\mathfrak{F} = (F, \jmath)_\Delta$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded net with a faithful normal representation (π, U) on the Hilbert net bundle $\mathfrak{H} = (H, U)_\Delta$, $H = \{H_a\}$. Assume that there is a net bundle of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded compact groups acting on $\mathfrak{H}$, that is, we have a family $G = \{G_a \subset UH_a\}$, and central, period 2 elements $\gamma_a \in G_a$, $a \in \Delta$, such that $\mathrm{ad}U_{a'a}(G_a) = G_{a'}$, $\mathrm{ad}U_{a'a}(\gamma_a) = \gamma_{a'}$, $a \subseteq a' \in \Delta$, in such a way that the group net bundle $\mathfrak{G} = (G, \mathrm{ad}U)_\Delta$ is defined. We assume that $\mathfrak{G}$ defines, by adjoint action, a gauge action on $\pi(\mathfrak{F})$. In this case, we call the quadruple $(\mathfrak{F}, \pi, U, \mathfrak{G})$ a *field system.*

---

[4] That is $\pi_a(R_a) \subseteq \pi_o(R_o)'$ for all $o \perp a$, $o, a \subseteq \omega$, in the sense of (2.10).

Definition 4.2. *We say that field systems* $(\mathfrak{F}_1,\pi_1,U_1,\mathfrak{G}_1)$ *,* $(\mathfrak{F}_2,\pi_2,U_2,\mathfrak{G}_2)$ *are* ***equivalent*** *whenever there is a unitary family* $\nu=\{\nu_a : H_{1,a}\to H_{2,a}\}$ *such that*

$$\nu_{a'}U_{1,a'a}=U_{2,a'a}\nu_a \quad , \quad \mathrm{ad}\nu_{a'}\circ\jmath_{1,a'a}=\jmath_{2,a'a}\circ\mathrm{ad}\nu_a \quad , \quad \nu_a g\nu_a^*\in G_{2,a} \quad , \quad \nu_a\gamma_{1,a}=\gamma_{2,a}\nu_a \ , \tag{4.4}$$

for all $a\subseteq a'$ *,* $g\in G_a$ *.*

Note that if χ_k , $k=1,2$, are the holonomies of $(H_k,U_k)_\Delta$, then the first of (4.4) implies

$$\chi_2(p)=\nu_a\cdot\chi_1(p)\cdot\nu_a^* \quad , \quad \forall p:a\to a \ . \tag{4.5}$$

Corollary 4.3. *If the morphism* χ *of the previous Lemma takes values in* G_* *then* $\mathfrak{R}$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathfrak{R}_*$ *. Moreover, when* χ *is non-trivial* $\mathfrak{R}_*$ *is the fixed-point subnet of inequivalent field systems, namely* $(\mathfrak{F}_*,id_{F_*},1,G_*)$ *and* $(\mathfrak{F},\pi,U,\mathfrak{G})$ *.*

Proof. Since χ takes values in G_* we have $\imath_{a'a}(t)=t$ for all $a\subseteq a'$ and $t\in R_a$, so $\mathfrak{R}=\mathfrak{R}_*$. To prove that the above field systems are inequivalent it suffices to show that U has nontrivial holonomy, in fact the immersion $id_{F_*}:\mathfrak{F}_*\to B(H)$ has trivial holonomy and (4.5) implies that the holonomy of U should be trivial too. But this is not the case, because the holonomy of U coincides by construction with χ (see the proof of Point 4 of the previous Lemma). □

One could argue that the only field net having a physical meaning is $\mathfrak{F}_*$. Nevertheless we point out that the twisted field net $\mathfrak{F}$ has the same local algebras as $\mathfrak{F}_*$ and can be constructed in such a way to support the Klein-Gordon and Dirac equations (see §A). Moreover, in accord with (3.2), nets of the above type are unavoidable if one is interested to representations of $\mathfrak{R}$ on Hilbert net bundles with nontrivial holonomy. So the question is whether $\mathfrak{R}$, and the superselection structure $Z^1(\mathfrak{R})$, encode enough informations to select a unique field system according to a criterion different from the one of considering only topologically trivial sectors. Our opinion, on the grounds of [28] and the previous corollary, is that the answer is negative.

The following construction is on the line of [10]. In the sequel we shall consider a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded group (G_0,γ) where G_0 is not represented (anyway, G_0 remains compact and metrizable). Let λ be a symmetric and separating set [5] of irreducible subrepresentations of the regular representation of G_0 . We consider the corresponding Hilbert space

$$L_\lambda := \oplus_{\varrho\in\lambda}L_\varrho \ \subset L^2(G_0)$$

on which G_0 acts by means of the faithful unitary representation

$$G_0\to UL_\lambda \quad , \quad g\mapsto g_\lambda := \oplus_\varrho g_\varrho \ , \tag{4.6}$$

where any g_ϱ is a unitary in the irreducible, finite dimensional G_0-Hilbert space L_ϱ . The grading element γ induces the spectral decomposition

$$L_\lambda = L_\lambda^+ \oplus L_\lambda^- \ ,$$

which decomposes (4.6) into

$$G_0\to UL_\lambda^\pm \quad , \quad g\mapsto g_\lambda^\pm := \oplus_{\varrho(\gamma)=\pm 1}g_\varrho \ . \tag{4.7}$$

---

[5] With *symmetric* we mean that if $\varrho\in\lambda$ then the same is true for the conjugate representation $\overline{\varrho}$, whilst the term *separating* indicates that for any $g\neq g'\in G_0$ there is $\varrho\in\lambda$ such that $\varrho(g)\neq\varrho(g')$.

Finally, we consider a real structure (that is, an antilinear, isometric map) $J : L_\lambda^+ \to L_\lambda^+$, $J^2 = 1$, commuting with (4.6) and stabilizing the subspaces L_ϱ for any $\varrho \in \lambda$ with $\varrho(\gamma) = 1$, so that we have real structures $J_\varrho : L_\varrho \to L_\varrho$. We denote the *real* subspace of J-invariant vectors in L_λ^+ by $L_\lambda^{+,J}$, which is a real Hilbert space with the scalar product induced by L_λ^+.

Now, we say that a compactly supported $f : M \to L_\lambda^{+,J}$ is C^∞ whenever the function $f_v(x) := (f(x), v) \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in M$, is C^∞ for any $v \in L_\lambda^{+,J}$, and denote the corresponding real vector space by $C_c^\infty(M, L_\lambda^{+,J})$. Given the decomposition $f(x) = \{f_\varrho(x) \in L_\varrho\}$, $x \in M$, we define the real vector space

$$\mathcal{D}^+ \ := \ \{f \in C_c^\infty(M, L_\lambda^{+,J}) \ : \ f_\varrho \neq 0 \text{ for finite } \varrho \in \lambda\} \ .$$

The representation (4.6) induces an action by invertible linear maps,

$$G_0 \to GL\mathcal{D}^+ \quad : \quad f_g(x) := g_\lambda^+ f(x) \quad , \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{D}^+ \ , \ g \in G_0 \ , \ x \in M \ . \tag{4.8}$$

Let us now assume that M has a spin structure and consider the spinor bundle $DM \to M$ (with fibre $\mathbb{C}^4$), whose tensor product with L_λ^- yields the bundle of Hilbert spaces $D_\lambda^- M := L_\lambda^- \otimes DM \to M$. We have a direct sum decomposition

$$D_\lambda^- M \ \simeq \ \oplus_{\varrho(\gamma)=-1} D_\varrho M \ ,$$

where any $D_\varrho M := L_\varrho \otimes DM \to M$ is a *smooth* vector bundle. Any section $s : M \to D_\lambda^- M$ decomposes as $s = \{s_\varrho : M \to D_\varrho M\}$, and we say that s is C^∞ whenever any s_ϱ is a C^∞ section. We denote the set of compactly supported, C^∞ sections of $D_\lambda^- M$ by $\mathcal{S}_c^\infty(M, D_\lambda^- M)$ and define

$$\mathcal{D}^- \ := \ \{s \in \mathcal{S}_c^\infty(M, D_\lambda^- M) \ : \ s_\varrho \neq 0 \text{ for finite } \varrho \in \lambda\} \ ,$$

that is a complex vector space carrying the action

$$G_0 \to GL\mathcal{D}^- \quad : \quad s_g(x) := \{g_\lambda^- \otimes 1\} s(x) \quad , \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{D}^- \ , \ g \in G_0 \ , \ x \in M \ . \tag{4.9}$$

Lemma 4.4 (Existence of field nets on hyperbolic spacetimes)**.** *For any globally hyperbolic spin manifold M and $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded group (G_0, γ), there are a Hilbert space H_* with a faithful strongly continuous representation $\tau : G_0 \to UH_*$, and a normal $(\tau(G_0), \tau(\gamma))$-net of von Neumann algebras $\mathfrak{F}_*$ on H_*.*

The proof of the previous Lemma is postponed to §A and is based on the construction of a symplectic form on $\mathcal{D}^+$ and a scalar product on $\mathcal{D}^-$, that allow to define, respectively,

- a Klein-Gordon field $\phi(f)$, $f \in \mathcal{D}^+$, on the Hilbert space H_*^ϕ,
- a Dirac field $\psi(s)$, $s \in \mathcal{D}^-$, on the Hilbert space H_*^ψ,

and then define $H_* := H_*^\phi \otimes H_*^\psi$ and $F_{*,a}$, $a \in \Delta$, as the von Neumann algebra generated by

$$\{e^{i\phi(f)} \otimes 1_\psi \ , \ 1_\phi \otimes \psi(s) \ : \ f \in \mathcal{D}^+ \ , \ s \in \mathcal{D}^- \ , \ supp(f), supp(s) \subseteq a\} \ .$$

We now define the (compact) normalizer group

$$N^\lambda G_0 \ := \ \{V = \oplus_\varrho V_\varrho \in UL_\lambda \ : \ V_\varrho g_\varrho V_\varrho^* \in \varrho(G_0) \ , \ \forall g \in G_0\} \ .$$

Note that $N^\lambda G_0$ acts by adjoint action on G_0 and, since $\gamma_\varrho = \pm 1$ for any $\varrho \in \lambda$, we have

$$V \gamma_\lambda V^* = \gamma_\lambda \quad , \quad \forall V \in N^\lambda G_0 \ .$$

Moreover, any $V \in N^\lambda G_0$ splits as $V = V^+ \oplus V^-$, $V^\pm \in UL_\lambda^\pm$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let M denote a globally hyperbolic spin manifold with good base Δ and (G_0, γ) a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded group. Given a symmetric, separating set λ for G_0 and a morphism*

$$\chi : \pi_1(M) \to N^\lambda G_0 \ ,$$

there is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded net of C^*-algebras $\mathfrak{F} = (F, \jmath)_\Delta$ endowed with:

1. *A Hilbert net bundle $(H, U)_\Delta$ carrying a normal representation (π, U) of $\mathfrak{F}$;*
2. *A gauge action $\mathfrak{G} \times_\Delta \pi(\mathfrak{F}) \to \pi(\mathfrak{F})$ with fixed-point subnet $\mathfrak{R}$ causally represented on $(H, U)_\Delta$, where $\mathfrak{G} = (G, \hat{\imath})_\Delta$ is the group net bundle with fibre G_0 and holonomy $\mathrm{ad}\chi$.*

Proof. With the notation of the previous Lemma we define $G_* := \tau(G_0) \subset UH_*$. So $(G_*, \tau(\gamma))$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-group on H_*, and by the previous Lemma we have a normal $(G_*, \tau(\gamma))$-net of von Neumann algebras $\mathfrak{F}_*$ on H_*. Now, by Lemma 4.1, to prove the Theorem it suffices to show that the unitary representation τ of the previous Lemma extends to a unitary representation τ of $N^\lambda G_0$ taking values in $N_{\tau(\gamma)} G_*$, in fact in such a case we would have the morphism $\tau \circ \chi : \pi_1(M) \to N_{\tau(\gamma)} G_*$. But the construction of the desired representation is easy, in fact it suffices to define the actions

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f_V(x) := V^+ f(x) \ , \ \forall x \in M \ , \ f \in \mathcal{D}^+ \\ s_V(x) := \{V^- \otimes 1\} s(x) \ , \ \forall x \in M \ , \ s \in \mathcal{D}^- \end{array} \right. \quad , \quad V \in N^\lambda G_0 \ ,$$

that yield, by universality of the CCRs and CARs, the desired extension τ fulfilling

$$\tau_V \{ e^{i\phi(f)} \otimes 1_\psi \} \tau_V^* \ = \ e^{i\phi(f_V)} \otimes 1_\psi \quad , \quad \tau_V \{ 1_\phi \otimes \psi(s) \} \tau_V^* \ = \ 1_\phi \otimes \psi(s_V) \ .$$

The verifications that $\tau(N^\lambda G_0) \subseteq N_{\tau(\gamma)} G_*$ now are trivial (see (4.3)) and the Theorem is proved. □

5 Conclusions and the gerbe perspective.

In this paper we have shown that Brunetti-Ruzzi superselection structures, that are expected to describe quantum charges affected by spacetime topology, are section categories of presheaves of symmetric tensor C^*-categories. As a consequence, several invariants come assigned to sectors (a holonomy with values in a compact Lie group, characteristic classes, a nonabelian cocycle). Moreover, when an embedding is given, a Tannaka duality describes the superselection structure in terms of equivariant Hilbert net bundles (or equivariant flat Hermitian bundles, see [28]).

Two points remain to be discussed.

The first one is a further discussion of nets of the type studied in §4 from the viewpoint of sectors: in particular, we are interested to prove that representations as (π, U) of Theorem 4.5 define, by decomposition, irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{R}$ fulfilling the selection criterion [5, §3.2], and that these are in one-to-one correspondence with irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{G}$.

The second point concerns the reconstruction, starting from the Haag-Kastler net $\mathfrak{R}$ and the presheaf $\mathfrak{S}$, of the gerbe of C^*-algebras over Δ playing the role of the field net [13]. This should determine a unique group gerbe playing the role of gauge group, and justify our approach to superselection structures by means of presheaves. In this scenario, the inequivalent field systems defining the same observable net constructed in Cor.4.3 should find their mathematical justification as the fact that the involved group net bundles are different manifestations of the same gerbe.

On a similar research line, we would like to mention the recent preprint [16], where a gauge group is defined in a functorial way. The scenario is the one of locally covariant quantum field theory, where generic functors are considered instead of nets. In our setting, it seems natural to conjecture that Fewster's gauge group is the Doplicher-Roberts dual of $Z^1(\mathfrak{R})$.

In the following paragraph we explain what we mean by a gerbe over a poset, and give a construction of gerbes of C^*-algebras by means of quantum fields.

Gerbes. As a preliminary step we recall the definition of the sets

$$N_1(\Delta) := \{ \, b = (b_0 \subseteq |b| \in \Delta) \, \} \quad , \quad N_2(\Delta) := \{ \, c = (c_0 \subseteq c_1 \subseteq |c| \in \Delta) \, \} \ ;$$

by [24, §2.2], there are inclusions $N_1(\Delta) \subseteq \Sigma_1(\Delta)$, $N_2(\Delta) \subseteq \Sigma_2(\Delta)$.

Let now G be a group. A G*-gerbe* over Δ is a pair $\breve{\mathfrak{G}} = (i, \delta)_\Delta$,

$$i : \Sigma_1(\Delta) \to \mathbf{aut}G \quad , \quad \delta : \Sigma_2(\Delta) \to G \quad : \quad \mathrm{ad}\delta_c \circ i_{\partial_1 c} = i_{\partial_0 c} \circ i_{\partial_2 c} \ , \ \forall c \in \Sigma_2(\Delta) \ . \tag{5.1}$$

The basic idea is that i is a $\mathbf{aut}G$-cocycle (in the sense of [24]) only up to inner automorphisms: the obstacle to get the cocycle relations is encoded by the map δ that, indeed, is determined by i.

A $\breve{\mathfrak{G}}$*-gerbe of C^*-algebras*, denoted $\breve{\mathfrak{F}}$, is given by a family of C^*-dynamical systems $\alpha_a : G \to \mathbf{aut}F_a$, $a \in \Delta$, on which *-monomorphisms $\jmath_{a'a} : F_a \to F_{a'}$, $a \subseteq a'$, are defined in such a way that

$$\jmath_{|c|c_1} \circ \jmath_{c_1 c_0} = \alpha_{|c|}(\delta_c) \circ \jmath_{|c|c_0} \quad , \quad \jmath_{|b|b_0} \circ \alpha_{b_0}(g) = \alpha_{|b|}(i_b(g)) \circ \jmath_{|b|b_0} \ , \tag{5.2}$$

for any $b \in N_1(\Delta)$, $c \in N_2(\Delta)$, $g \in G$. Note that the first equality in (5.2) generalizes (1.1), whilst the second one is analogous to (2.11). Moreover, again by (5.2), the fixed point family $\mathfrak{R} = (R, \jmath)_\Delta$, $R_a := F_a^G$, $\forall a \in \Delta$, is a *net* of C^*-algebras, that we interpret here as the observable net. This suggests a new, further role for the gauge group, the one of coefficient group for the cohomological obstruction to construct a field net starting from $\mathfrak{A}$. When $\delta \equiv 1$ the gerbe $\breve{\mathfrak{G}}$ defines a group net bundle, written $\mathfrak{G}$, and $\breve{\mathfrak{F}}$ is a $\mathfrak{G}$*-net* of C^*-algebras. To illustrate how gerbes can arise in quantum field theory we give the following result:

Theorem 5.1. *Let M denote a globally hyperbolic spin manifold with good base Δ and (G_0, γ) a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded group. Given a symmetric, separating set λ for G_0 and a morphism*

$$\chi : \pi_1(M) \to N^\lambda G_0 / G_0 \ ,$$

*there are a G_0-gerbe $\breve{\mathfrak{G}} = (i, \delta)_\Delta$ and a $\breve{\mathfrak{G}}$-gerbe of C^*-algebras $\breve{\mathfrak{F}} = (F, \jmath)_\Delta$, defining a fixed-point **subnet** $\mathfrak{R} = (R, \jmath)_\Delta$ causally represented on a Hilbert net bundle.*

Proof. We fix $\omega \in \Delta$, a path frame P_ω, and define

$$V_b^\downarrow := \chi(p_{\omega \, \partial_0 b} * b * p_{\partial_1 b \, \omega}) \in N^\lambda G_0 / G_0 \quad , \quad \forall b \in \Sigma_1(\Delta) \ .$$

By homotopy invariance of χ, the relations

$$V_{\partial_0 c}^\downarrow V_{\partial_2 c}^\downarrow = V_{\partial_1 c}^\downarrow \quad , \quad c \in \Sigma_2(\Delta) \ , \tag{5.3}$$

are fulfilled (see [25, §2.2]). For any $b \in \Sigma_1(b)$ we pick a $V_b \in N^\lambda G_0$ such that $V_b \, \mathrm{mod} G_0 = V_b^\downarrow$, so (5.3) implies

$$\delta_c := V_{\partial_0 c} V_{\partial_2 c} V_{\partial_1 c}^{-1} \in G_0 \quad , \quad c \in \Sigma_2(\Delta) \ . \tag{5.4}$$

We define $\imath_b := \mathrm{ad} V_b$, $\forall b \in \Sigma_1(\Delta)$, which yields, by (5.4), the desired gerbe $\check{\mathfrak{G}} = (\imath, \delta)_\Delta$. We now consider the normal $(\tau(G_0), \tau(\gamma))$-net of von Neumann algebras $\mathfrak{F}_*$ of Lemma 4.4, extend τ to $N^\lambda G_0$ as in the proof of Theorem 4.5 and set $G_* := \tau(G_0)$, so $\tau(NG_0)$ takes values in $N_\gamma G_*$. Recalling the inclusion $N_1(\Delta) \subset \Sigma_1(\Delta)$ we define

$$\jmath_{a'a} : F_a \to F_{a'} \quad , \quad \jmath_{a'a}(t) := \{\mathrm{ad}\tau(V_{(a,a')})\}(t) \quad , \quad \forall a \subseteq a' \in \Delta \ , \ t \in F_a \ .$$

Moreover we consider the gauge actions

$$\alpha_a : G_0 \to \mathbf{aut} F_a \quad , \quad \alpha_a(g) := \mathrm{ad}\tau(g) \quad , \quad a \in \Delta \ , \ g \in G_0 \ .$$

By (5.4) we have

$$\jmath_{|c|c_1} \circ \jmath_{c_1 c_0} = \alpha_{|c|}(\delta_c) \circ \jmath_{|c|c_0} \quad , \quad \forall c \in N_2(\Delta) \ .$$

Moreover,

$$\jmath_{|b|b_0} \circ \alpha_{b_0}(g) \ = \ \{\mathrm{ad}\tau(V_b)\} \circ \mathrm{ad}\tau(g) \ = \ \mathrm{ad}\tau(V_b g) \ = \ \mathrm{ad}\tau(\imath_b(g)\, V_b) \ = \ \alpha_{|b|}(\imath_b(g)) \circ \jmath_{|b|b_0} \ ,$$

so $\check{\mathfrak{F}}$ is a $\check{\mathfrak{G}}$-gerbe as desired, with fixed point subnet $\mathfrak{R} = (R, \jmath)_\Delta$. To construct a causal representation of $\mathfrak{R}$ we consider the Hilbert space H_* of Lemma 4.4 and the subspace $\overline{H}_*$ of G_0-invariant elements. Defining $\overline{U}_{a'a} v := \tau(V_{(a,a')})v$, $a \subseteq a'$, $v \in \overline{H}_*$, we find, for all triples $c := \{a \subseteq a' \subseteq a'' \in \Delta\}$,

$$\overline{U}_{a''a'} \overline{U}_{a'a} v = \tau(V_{(a',a'')}\, V_{(a,a')})v = \tau(\delta_c\, V_{(a,a'')})v = \tau(V_{(a,a'')})\, \tau(\delta'_c)v = \tau(V_{(a,a'')})v = \overline{U}_{a''a} v \ ,$$

where $\delta'_c := V^{-1}_{(a,a'')} \delta_c V_{(a,a'')} \in G_0$. So $\overline{\mathfrak{H}} = (\overline{H}, \overline{U})_\Delta$, $\overline{H} = \{\overline{H}_a \equiv \overline{H}_*\}$, is a Hilbert net bundle. We now define

$$\overline{\pi}_a : R_a \to B\overline{H}_a \quad , \quad \overline{\pi}_a(t)v := tv \quad , \quad \forall v \in \overline{H}_a \subset H_* \ , \ a \in \Delta \ .$$

Since each R_a is pointwise G_0-invariant we find that $tv \in \overline{H}_a$, so the definition is well-posed. Moreover

$$\mathrm{ad}\overline{U}_{a'a} \circ \overline{\pi}_a(t) = \{\mathrm{ad}\tau(V_{(a,a')})\}(t) = \jmath_{a'a}(t) = \overline{\pi}_{a'} \circ \jmath_{a'a}(t) \ ,$$

for all $t \in R_a$, so the pair $(\overline{\pi}, \overline{U})$ is a representation as desired. Finally, to verify causality (in the sense of (4.1)) we note that for any $o \perp a$, $t_1 \in R_a$, $t_0 \in R_o$,

$$[\pi_a(t_1)\, , \, \mathrm{ad} U_p \circ \pi_o(t_0)] \ = \ [t_1\, , \, \mathrm{ad}\tau(V_p)(t_0)] \quad , \quad p : o \to a \ ,$$

and the last commutator is zero because $\{\mathrm{ad}\tau(V)\} R_o \subseteq R_o$ for any $V \in N^\lambda G_0$ (recall (4.3) and that $\tau(N^\lambda G_0) \subseteq N_\gamma G_*$). This suffices to prove causality, because $\mathfrak{R}$ has the same fibres of the fixed-point subnet of $\mathfrak{F}_*$, which is causal. $\square$

It is easily verified that χ has a lift to $N^\lambda G_0$ if, and only if, there is a choice of $\{V_b\}$ such that V is a 1-cocycle (see [28, Theorem 5.5]), that is, if, and only if, $\check{\mathfrak{F}}$ can be arranged in such a way to be a net.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank G. Ruzzi for fruitful discussions.

A Symmetry and nets on globally hyperbolic spin manifolds.

The proof of the symmetry relations (3.10). Before to make the necessary computations we need two Lemmata:

Lemma A.1. *For any $a \in \Delta$, cocycle $z_\rho \in \mathbf{obj}\ Z^1_c(\mathfrak{R})_{<a}$ and paths $p, p' : e \to o$ in Δ^a, we have $z(p) = z(p')$.*

Proof. Let $\overline{p}' : o \to e$ denote the opposite path. Then $p * \overline{p}' : o \to o$ is a loop in Δ^a and, since $\pi_1(\Delta) = \pi_1(a) = \mathbf{0}$, we have that $p * \overline{p}'$ is homotopic to the trivial loop $b_o : o \to o$, $b_o := (o, o; o)$. By [25, Lemma 2.6-7] we conclude that $z(p)z(p')^* = z(p * \overline{p}') = z(b_o) = 1$. □

The independence of p allows us to write $z_{oe} := z(p)$, $p : e \to o$; note that $z_{eo} = z^*_{oe}$.

Lemma A.2. *The symmetry operator (3.9) is independent of the choice of p_{oe}, $p_{o'e}$, o and o', for any $e < a$.*

Proof. The independence of p_{oe}, $p_{o'e}$ follows by the previous Lemma, so it remains to verify the independence of o, o'. Take $\omega, \omega' < a$, $\omega \perp \omega'$. Then

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\epsilon(z,w)_e & \overset{Lemma\,A.2}{=} & w^*_{\omega e} w^*_{o\omega} \sigma_o(z_{o'\omega'} z_{\omega' e})^* \cdot z_{o'\omega'} z_{\omega' e} \rho_e(w_{o\omega} w_{\omega e}) \\
& \overset{o',\omega\perp o}{=} & w^*_{\omega e} w^*_{o\omega} \sigma_o(z_{\omega' e})^* \cdot z^*_{o'\omega'} z_{o'\omega'} z_{\omega' e} \rho_e(w_{o\omega}) \rho_e(w_{\omega e}) \\
& \overset{(3.4)}{=} & w^*_{\omega e} \sigma_\omega(z_{\omega' e})^* w^*_{o\omega} \cdot z^*_{o'\omega'} z_{o'\omega'} z_{\omega' e} \rho_e(w_{o\omega}) \rho_e(w_{\omega e}) \\
& \overset{(3.3)}{=} & w^*_{\omega e} \sigma_\omega(z_{\omega' e})^* \cdot \rho_{\omega'}(w_{o\omega})^* z_{\omega' e} \rho_e(w_{o\omega}) \rho_e(w_{\omega e}) \\
& \overset{(3.4)}{=} & w^*_{\omega e} \sigma_\omega(z_{\omega' e})^* \cdot z_{\omega' e} \rho_e(w^*_{o\omega} w_{o\omega}) \rho_e(w_{\omega e}) \\
& = & w^*_{\omega e} \sigma_\omega(z_{\omega' e})^* \cdot z_{\omega' e} \rho_e(w_{\omega e})\ ,
\end{array}$$

as desired. □

In the sequel, to be concise, we shall write $z \equiv z_\rho, w_\sigma \equiv w, v \equiv v_\tau \in Z^1_c(\mathfrak{R})_{<a}$. To prove (3.10.1) we compute

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\epsilon(z,w)_{\partial_0 b} \cdot z(b) \rho_{\partial_1 b}(w(b)) & \overset{(3.4)}{=} & w^*_{o\partial_0 b} \sigma_o(z_{o'\partial_0 b})^* \cdot z_{o'\partial_0 b} z(b) \rho_{\partial_1 b}(w_{o\partial_0 b} w(b)) \\
& \overset{Lemma\,A.2}{=} & w(b) \sigma_{\partial_1 b}(z(b)) \cdot \sigma_{\partial_1 b}(z(b))^* w(b)^* w^*_{o\partial_0 b} \sigma_o(z_{o'\partial_0 b})^* \cdot z_{o'\partial_1 b} \rho_{\partial_1 b}(w_{o\partial_1 b}) \\
& \overset{Lemma\,A.2}{=} & w(b) \sigma_{\partial_1 b}(z(b)) \cdot \sigma_{\partial_1 b}(z(b))^* w^*_{o\partial_1 b} \sigma_o(z_{o'\partial_0 b})^* \cdot z_{o'\partial_1 b} \rho_{\partial_1 b}(w_{o\partial_1 b}) \\
& \overset{(3.5)}{=} & w(b) \sigma_{\partial_1 b}(z(b)) \cdot w^*_{o\partial_1 b} \sigma_o(z(b))^* \sigma_o(z_{o'\partial_0 b})^* \cdot z_{o'\partial_1 b} \rho_{\partial_1 b}(w_{o\partial_1 b}) \\
& = & w(b) \sigma_{\partial_1 b}(z(b)) \cdot w^*_{o\partial_1 b} \sigma_o(z_{o'\partial_1 b})^* \cdot z_{o'\partial_1 b} \rho_{\partial_1 b}(w_{o\partial_1 b}) \\
& \overset{Lemma\,A.2}{=} & w(b) \sigma_{\partial_1 b}(z(b)) \cdot \epsilon(z,w)_{\partial_1 b}\ .
\end{array}$$

To prove (3.10.2) we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
s_e\sigma_e(t_e)\cdot\epsilon(z,w)_e &= s_e\sigma_e(t_e)\cdot w^*_{oe}\sigma_o(z_{o'e})^*\cdot z_{o'e}\rho_e(w_{oe}) \\
&\overset{(3.7)}{=} \sigma'_e(t_e)s_e\cdot w^*_{oe}\sigma_o(z_{o'e})^*\cdot z_{o'e}\rho_e(w_{oe}) \\
&\overset{(3.6)}{=} \sigma'_e(t_e){w'}^*_{oe}\cdot s_o\sigma_o(z_{o'e})^*\cdot z_{o'e}\rho_e(w_{oe}) \\
&\overset{(3.5),(3.7)}{=} {w'}^*_{oe}\sigma'_o(t_e)\cdot\sigma'_o(z_{o'e})^*s_o\cdot z_{o'e}\rho_e(w_{oe}) \\
&\overset{o\perp o',(3.3)}{=} {w'}^*_{oe}\sigma'_o(t_e z^*_{o'e})\cdot\rho_{o'}(s_o)z_{o'e}\rho_e(w_{oe}) \\
&\overset{(3.6),(3.5)}{=} {w'}^*_{oe}\cdot\sigma'_o({z'}_{o'e})^*\sigma'_o(t_{o'})\cdot z_{o'e}\rho_e(s_o w_{oe}) \\
&\overset{o\perp o',(3.3)}{=} {w'}^*_{oe}\cdot\sigma'_o({z'}_{o'e})^*t_{o'}\cdot z_{o'e}\rho_e(s_o w_{oe}) \\
&\overset{(3.6)}{=} {w'}^*_{oe}\sigma'_o({z'}_{o'e})^*\cdot t_{o'}z_{o'e}\rho_e(w'_{oe})\cdot\rho_e(s_e) \\
&\overset{(3.6)}{=} {w'}^*_{oe}\sigma'_o({z'}_{o'e})^*\cdot z'_{o'e}t_e\rho_e(w'_{oe})\cdot\rho_e(s_e) \\
&\overset{(3.7)}{=} {w'}^*_{oe}\sigma'_o({z'}_{o'e})^*z'_{o'e}\rho'_e(w'_{oe})\cdot t_e\rho_e(s_e) \\
&= \epsilon(z',w')_e\cdot t_e\rho_e(s_e)\ .
\end{aligned}$$

To prove (3.10.3) we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon(z,w)_e\epsilon(w,z) &= w^*_{oe}\sigma_o(z_{o'e})^*\cdot z_{o'e}\rho_e(w_{oe})\cdot z^*_{oe}\rho_o(w_{o'e})^*\cdot w_{o'e}\sigma_e(z_{oe}) \\
&\overset{Lemma A.2}{=} w^*_{oe}\sigma_o(z_{o'e})^*\cdot z_{o'e}\cdot\rho_e(w_{oe})z^*_{o'e}\rho_{o'}(w_{oe})^*\cdot w_{oe}\sigma_e(z_{o'e}) \\
&\overset{(3.4)}{=} w^*_{oe}\sigma_o(z_{o'e})^*\cdot z_{o'e}z^*_{o'e}\cdot\rho_{o'}(w_{oe})\rho_{o'}(w_{oe})^*\cdot w_{oe}\sigma_e(z_{o'e}) \\
&\overset{(3.4)}{=} w^*_{oe}\sigma_o(z_{o'e})^*\cdot w_{oe}\sigma_e(z_{o'e}) \\
&\overset{(3.4)}{=} w^*_{oe}w_{oe}\sigma_e(z_{o'e})^*\sigma_e(z_{o'e}) \\
&= 1\ .
\end{aligned}$$

The second of (3.10.3) is trivial to verify. Finally we prove (3.10.4). To this end, we note that given $e < a$ we can pick $o \perp o'$, $o, o' < a$, in such a way that:

- $\overline{o} \perp e$;
- there is $\omega \supseteq e, o'$ such that $\omega \perp o$;

(see [5, Lemma B.5]). The second point implies, using Lemma A.1, that $w_{o'e} = w(b_0) \in R_\omega$, where $b_0 = (o', e; \omega) \in \Sigma_1(\Delta^a)$ can be regarded as a path from e to o'. So (3.3) implies

$$\rho_{o'}(w_{o'e}) \in R_\omega\ ,\ \tau_o(w_{o'e}) = w_{o'e} \quad\Rightarrow\quad \tau_o\circ\rho_{o'}(w_{o'e}) \ = \ \rho_{o'}(w_{o'e}) \ = \ \rho_{o'}\circ\tau_o(w_{o'e})\ , \tag{A.1}$$

and we can compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon((z\otimes w),v)_e &= v^*_{oe}\tau_o(z_{o'e}\rho_e(w_{o'e}))^*\cdot z_{o'e}\rho_e(w_{o'e})\cdot\{\rho_e\circ\sigma_e\}(v_{oe}) \\
&\overset{(3.4)}{=} v^*_{oe}\tau_o(\rho_{o'}(w_{o'e})z_{o'e})^*\cdot z_{o'e}\rho_e(w_{o'e})\cdot\{\rho_e\circ\sigma_e\}(v_{oe}) \\
&\overset{(A.1)}{=} v^*_{oe}\tau_o(z_{o'e})^*\cdot\{\rho_{o'}\circ\tau_o\}(w_{o'e}))^*z_{o'e}\cdot\rho_e(w_{o'e}\sigma_e(v_{oe})) \\
&\overset{(3.4)}{=} v^*_{oe}\tau_o(z_{o'e})^*\cdot z_{o'e}\rho_e(\tau_o(w_{o'e}))^*\cdot\rho_e(w_{o'e}\sigma_e(v_{oe})) \\
&= v^*_{oe}\tau_o(z_{o'e})^*z_{o'e}\rho_e(v_{oe})\cdot\rho_e(v^*_{oe}\tau_o(w_{o'e})^*w_{o'e}\sigma_e(v_{oe})) \\
&= \epsilon(z,v)_e\cdot\rho_e(\epsilon(w,v)_e)\ .
\end{aligned}$$

Field nets on globally hyperbolic spin manifolds: the proof of Lemma 4.4. We apply the construction of [10] to the quantum fields defined in [7, 8]. To this end, following [10] we introduce a mass function

$$\mu : \lambda \to (0,\infty) \quad : \quad \inf \mu > 0 \quad , \quad \mu(\varrho) = \mu(\overline{\varrho}) \ .$$

Given a smooth Cauchy hypersurface $\Sigma \subset M$, we consider the restriction operator and the forward normal derivative respectively,

$$\rho_0 \ , \ \rho_1 \ : \ C^\infty(M) \to C^\infty(\Sigma) \ ,$$

and, given $m > 0$, the linear operator

$$E_m : C_c^\infty(M) \to C^\infty(M)$$

defined by the fundamental solution of the Klein-Gordon equation with mass m and initial data in Σ (see [7, §2]).

Let $f \in \mathcal{D}^+$ and $v \in L_\lambda^{+,J}$. We consider the decompositions $f(x) = \{f_\varrho(x) \in L_\varrho\}$, $v = \{v_\varrho \in L_\varrho\}$, and define the C^∞, compactly supported functions $f_{\varrho,v}(x) := (f_\varrho(x), v_\varrho)_\varrho \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in M$. Note that $f_{\varrho,v}$ is linear in v, and

$$(f(x), v) \ = \ \sum_\varrho f_{\varrho,v}(x) \ , \ \forall x \in M \ ,$$

where *the sum is finite* because $f \in \mathcal{D}^+$. Let now $C_{\rm fin}^\infty(M, L_\lambda^{+,J})$ denote the linear space of compactly supported, $L_\lambda^{+,J}$-valued functions having a finite set of non-zero components on $\varrho \in \lambda$. By Riesz duality in $L_\lambda^{+,J}$ and linearity of ρ_0, ρ_1, E_m we can define the extensions

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} E_\mu : \mathcal{D}^+ \to C_{\rm fin}^\infty(M, L_\lambda^{+,J}) \quad : \quad (\{E_\mu f\}(x), v) := \sum_{\varrho \in \lambda} \{E_{\mu(\varrho)} f_{\varrho,v}\}(x) \\ \\ \rho_\bullet^\lambda : C_{\rm fin}^\infty(M, L_\lambda^{+,J}) \to C_{\rm fin}^\infty(\Sigma, L_\lambda^{+,J}) \quad : \quad (\{\rho_\bullet^\lambda f\}(x), v) := \sum_{\varrho \in \lambda} \{\rho_\bullet f_{\varrho,v}\}(x) \quad , \quad \bullet = 0,1 \ , \end{array} \right.$$

where v, x vary in $L_\lambda^{+,J}$, M respectively.

We now consider the Hilbert space $L^2(\Sigma, L_\lambda^+)$ and the associated bosonic Fock space, written H_Σ^+, carrying the representation of the canonical commutation relations

$$[a(h), a^*(h')] \ = \ \int_\Sigma (h, h') \quad , \quad h, \tilde{h} \in L^2(\Sigma, L_\lambda^+) \ .$$

The argument of [7, Theorem 2] shows that on the Hilbert space $H_*^\phi := H_\Sigma^+$ a representation of the canonical commutation relations holds,

$$[\phi(f), \phi(f')] \ = \ -i \int_M (f, E_\mu f') \quad , \quad \forall f, f' \in \mathcal{D}^+ \ ,$$

where

$$\phi(f) := \theta(\rho_1^\lambda E_\mu f) - \pi(\rho_0^\lambda E_\mu f) \quad , \quad \theta := 2^{-1/2}(a^* + a) \ , \ \pi := i2^{-1/2}(a^* - a) \ ,$$

is well-defined because $\rho_1^\lambda E_\mu f, \rho_0^\lambda E_\mu f$ have compact support in Σ (see the proof of [7, Cor.1.3]).

We now pass to consider the Dirac field, following [8]. The strategy is the same as the scalar field, with the technical complications due to the spinor structures. As a first step we consider the dual bundle $DM^* \to M$ of DM and denote the set of C^∞, compactly supported sections (cospinors) by $\mathcal{S}_c^\infty(M, DM^*)$, so we have the pairing $\langle \omega, s \rangle \in C_c^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$, $\omega \in \mathcal{S}_c^\infty(M, DM^*)$, $s \in \mathcal{S}_c^\infty(M, DM)$. Again, we consider the restriction operator on the Cauchy hypersurface $\Sigma \subset M$ (endowed with the spin structure induced by M),

$$\rho : \mathcal{S}^\infty(M, DM) \to \mathcal{S}^\infty(\Sigma, D\Sigma) \ , \tag{A.2}$$

and the fundamental solution of the Dirac equation with mass $m > 0$,

$$S_m : \mathcal{S}_c^\infty(M, DM) \to \mathcal{S}^\infty(M, DM) \tag{A.3}$$

(see [8, §II.A]). Moreover we consider the representation of vector fields as operators on the space of spinors, defined, *in local frames*, by contraction with the Clifford-Dirac γ-matrices ([8, §I.A]),

$$\mathcal{S}^\infty(M, TM) \times \mathcal{S}_c^\infty(M, DM) \to \mathcal{S}_c^\infty(M, DM) \quad , \quad \mathbf{v}, s \mapsto \bar{\mathbf{v}} s := (\mathbf{v}^h \gamma^i_{hk} s^k)_i \ , \tag{A.4}$$

and the Dirac conjugation, defined (in local frames) by applying to spinors the matrix $\beta \in \mathbb{SU}(4)$ intertwining the γ-matrices with their adjoints ([8, §I.B]),

$$\mathcal{S}_c^\infty(M, DM) \to \mathcal{S}_c^\infty(M, DM^*) \quad , \quad s \mapsto s^+ := (s^h \beta_{hk})_k \ . \tag{A.5}$$

If $\mathbf{n}$ is the vector field forward normal to Σ, then we consider the integral

$$\int_\Sigma \left\langle s^+, \bar{\mathbf{n}} s' \right\rangle \quad , \quad s, s' \in \mathcal{S}_c^\infty(\Sigma, D\Sigma) \ , \tag{A.6}$$

that is a scalar product on $\mathcal{S}_c^\infty(\Sigma, D\Sigma)$ ([8, §III.A]).

We now extend the coefficients considering the Hilbert bundles $D_\lambda^- M \to M$ (*generalized spinors*) and $D_\lambda^{-,*} M \to M$, where $D_\lambda^{-,*} M := L_\lambda^{-,*} \otimes DM^*$ is the tensor product of the conjugate space $L_\lambda^{-,*}$ by the cospinor bundle (*generalized cospinors*). The restrictions on Σ shall be denoted with analogous notations. We have pairings

$$\mathcal{S}_{c,\mathrm{fin}}^\infty(X, D_\lambda^{-,*} X) \times \mathcal{S}_{c,\mathrm{fin}}^\infty(X, D_\lambda^- X) \to C_c^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}) \quad , \quad \omega, s \mapsto \langle \omega, s \rangle_\lambda^- \quad , \quad X = M, \Sigma \ ,$$

where the symbol "fin" indicates that we are considering (co)spinors with finitely many components on $\varrho \in \lambda$. We also have extensions of (A.2) and (A.3), respectively,

$$\begin{cases} \rho^\lambda : \mathcal{S}^\infty(M, D_\lambda^- M) \to \mathcal{S}^\infty(\Sigma, D_\lambda^- \Sigma) \quad , \quad \rho^\lambda := \rho \otimes 1_- \ , \\ \\ S_\mu : \mathcal{D}^- \to \mathcal{S}^\infty(M, D_\lambda^- M) \quad , \quad S_\mu s := \sum_\varrho^\oplus \{ S_{\mu(\varrho)} \otimes 1_- \} s_\varrho \end{cases}$$

(The sum of the previous expression is finite for any $s \in \mathcal{D}^-$, so no convergence questions arise).

Moreover, (A.6) yields a scalar product on $\mathcal{S}_c^\infty(\Sigma, D_\lambda^- \Sigma)$ defined, on elementary tensors of the type $s = s_1 \otimes s_2 \in \mathcal{S}_c^\infty(\Sigma, D_\lambda^- \Sigma)$, $s_1 \in \mathcal{S}_c^\infty(\Sigma, D\Sigma)$, $s_2 \in C_c^\infty(\Sigma, L_\lambda^-)$, as

$$\int_\Sigma \left\{ \left\langle s_1^+, \bar{\mathbf{n}} s_1' \right\rangle \, (s_2, s_2') \right\} \quad , \quad s, s' \in \mathcal{S}_c^\infty(\Sigma, D_\lambda^- \Sigma) \ .$$

We denote the corresponding completion by $L^2(\Sigma, D^-_\lambda\Sigma)$. Any generalized cospinor $\omega \in \mathcal{S}^\infty_c(\Sigma, D^{-,*}_\lambda\Sigma)$ can be regarded as a linear functional on $L^2(\Sigma, D^-_\lambda\Sigma)$, by extending the map

$$s \ \mapsto \ \int_\Sigma \langle \omega, s\rangle^-_\lambda \quad , \quad s \in \mathcal{S}^\infty_c(\Sigma, D^-_\lambda\Sigma) \ ,$$

to generic vectors in $L^2(\Sigma, D^-_\lambda\Sigma)$. So $\mathcal{S}^\infty_c(\Sigma, D^{-,*}_\lambda\Sigma)$ embeds in the conjugate space $L^{2,*}(\Sigma, D^-_\lambda\Sigma)$.

We now denote the fermionic Fock space of $L^2(\Sigma, D^-_\lambda\Sigma)$ by H^-_Σ. It carries a representation of the canonical anticommutation relations

$$X^*(h)X(\varphi) + X(\varphi)X^*(h) \ = \ \langle \varphi, h\rangle \quad , \quad h \in L^2(\Sigma, D^-_\lambda\Sigma) \ , \ \varphi \in L^{2,*}(\Sigma, D^-_\lambda\Sigma) \ .$$

We set $H^\psi_* := H^-_\Sigma$ and

$$\psi(s) \ := \ -iX^*(\rho^\lambda S_\mu s) \quad , \quad s \in \mathcal{D}^- \ .$$

These [6] are well-defined operators in $B(H^\psi_*)$ since $\rho^\lambda S_\mu s$ has compact support for any $s \in \mathcal{D}^-$ (see ([8, III.B])). Recalling the definitions of ρ^λ and S_μ, and applying to them [8, Prop.2.4(d)] we obtain, as desired, the CARs

$$\psi^*(s)\psi(s') + \psi(s')\psi^*(s) \ = \ -i\int_M \langle s^+, S_\mu s'\rangle^-_\lambda \quad , \quad s, s' \in \mathcal{D}^- \ .$$

References

[1] Y. Aharonov, D. Bohm. Significance of electromagnetic potentials in quantum theory. Phys. Rev. 115, (1959), 485-491.

[2] H. Araki. *Mathematical theory of quantum fields.* Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2009.

[3] A. Ashtekar, A. Sen: On the role of space-time topology in quantum phenomena: superselection of charge and emergence of nontrivial vacua. J. Math. Phys.21(3), (1980), 526-533.

[4] R. Brunetti, L. Franceschini, V. Moretti: Topological features of massive bosons on two dimensional Einstein space-time. Ann. Henri Poincaré 10 (2009) no. 6, 1027 - 1073.

[5] R. Brunetti, G. Ruzzi: Quantum charges and spacetime topology: The emergence of new superselection sectors, Comm. Math. Phys. 87(2), (2009), 523-563, arXiv:0801.3365.

[6] J. Cheeger, J. Simons: Differential characters and geometric invariants, from: Geometry and Topology, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1167, Springer, Berlin (1985) 50-80.

[7] J.Dimock: Algebras of local observables on a manifold, Comm. Math. Phys. 77 (1980) 219-228.

[8] J. Dimock: Dirac quantum fields on a manifold, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 269(I) (1982) 133-147.

[9] S. Doplicher: Spin and Statistics and First Principles. Found. Phys. 40(7) (2010) 719-732.

[10] S. Doplicher, G. Piacitelli: Any compact group is a gauge group, Rev. Math. Phys. 14(7-8) (2002) 873-885.

[11] S. Doplicher, J.E. Roberts: Duals of Compact Lie Groups Realized in the Cuntz Algebras and Their Actions on C^*-algebras, J. Funct. Anal. 74 (1987), 96-120.

[12] S. Doplicher, J.E. Roberts: A New Duality Theory for Compact Groups, Inventiones Mathematicae 98 (1989), 157-218.

---

[6] In the reference [8] the analogue of the field ψ is denoted by ψ^+, whilst the notation ψ is used for the Dirac field evaluated on cospinors.

[13] S. Doplicher and J.E. Roberts: Why there is a field algebra with a compact gauge group describing the superselection structure in particle physics, Commun. Math. Phys. 131 (1990), 51-107.

[14] W. Ehrenberg, R. E. Siday. The refractive index in electron optics and the principles of dynamics. Proc. Phys. Soc. B62, (1949), 8-21.

[15] R.P.Feynman, R.B.Leighton, M.Sands: The Feynman lectures on physics (vol.2), Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1964.

[16] C.J. Fewster. Endomorphisms and automorphisms of locally covariant quantum field theories, arXiv:1201.3295v1.

[17] K. Fredenhagen: Generalizations of the theory of superselection sectors. The algebraic theory of superselection sectors (Palermo, 1989), 379–387, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1990.

[18] D. Guido, R. Longo, J. E. Roberts, R. Verch: Charged sectors, spin and statistics in quantum field theory on curved spacetimes, Rev. Math. Phys. 13(2) (2001), 125-198. Available as arXiv:math-ph:9906019.

[19] R. Haag. Local Quantum Physics. Springer Texts and Monographs in Physics, 1996, 2nd edition.

[20] S. Kobayashi: Differential Geometry of complex vector bundles, Princeton University Press, 1987.

[21] J.E. Roberts: Lectures on Algebraic Quantum Field Theory. In: The Algebraic Theory of Superselection Sectors. Introduction and Recent Results, ed. D. Kastler, pp. 1112. World Scientific, Singapore, New Jersey, London, Hong Kong 1990.

[22] J.E. Roberts: More Lectures on Algebraic Quantum Field Theory, in Noncommutative geometry: lectures given at the C.I.M.E. summer school held in Martina Franca, Italy, Lecture notes in mathematics 1831, Springer-Verlag.

[23] J.E. Roberts, G. Ruzzi: A cohomological description of connections and curvature over posets, Theory and Applications of Categories, 16 (2006) 855–895

[24] J.E. Roberts, G. Ruzzi, E. Vasselli: A theory of bundles over posets, Adv. Math. 220 (2009) 125-153, arXiv:0707.0240.

[25] G. Ruzzi: Homotopy of posets, net-cohomology and superselection sectors in globally hyperbolic spacetimes, Rev.Math. Phys. 17(9) (2005) 1021-1070.

[26] G. Ruzzi, E. Vasselli: A new light on nets of C^*-algebras and their representations, Comm.Math.Phys.312 (2012) 655-694, arXiv:1005.3178;

Representations of nets of C^*-algebras over S1, Comm.Math.Phys. 316 (2012) 127-149, arXiv:1104.3527;

[27] E. Vasselli: Bundles of C^*-categories, II: C^*-dynamical systems and Dixmier-Douady invariants, Journal of Functional Analysis 257 (2009) 357-387.

[28] E. Vasselli: Presheaves of symmetric tensor categories and nets of C^*-algebras, arXiv:1210.4302.

[29] E. Vasselli: A theory of gerbes over posets, in preparation.

[30] R. Verch: Continuity of symplectically adjoint maps and the algebraic structure of Hadamard vacuum representations for quantum fields in curved spacetime, Rev. Math. Phys. 9 (1997) 635-677 .